

# Neglected Tropical Diseases: Background, Responses, and Issues for Congress

January 21, 2011

Congressional Research Service

<https://crsreports.congress.gov>

R41607

## Summary

Over the past decade, global health has become a priority in U.S. foreign policy, and U.S. funding for related efforts has more than tripled. Neglected tropical diseases (NTDs), an important focus of U.S. global health assistance, may come under scrutiny as the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress debates spending levels for ongoing global health programs. NTDs are a group of 17 diseases that are found primarily among the poorest people in 149 countries and territories. Estimates indicate that some 2 billion people are at risk of contracting an NTD, of whom more than 1 billion people are afflicted with one or more. Roughly 534,000 people are believed to be killed by an NTD annually.

Although these diseases are concentrated among the world's poor, population shifts and climate change increase the vulnerability of the United States to some of these diseases, particularly Chagas disease and dengue. While blood centers test for Chagas, some health experts believe that several cases remain undiagnosed in the United States and that Chagas stands as an undetected cause of heart disease and stroke. Some observers are concerned about scientists' expectations that mosquitoes capable of spreading dengue fever are gradually spreading across the United States, particularly because no vaccine or treatment exists for this disease. In addition, travelers from industrialized countries are increasingly contracting NTDs such as schistosomiasis while engaged in tourism. These cases are usually identified once tourists develop severe, acute infection or other unusual problems.

Proponents support funding research on and treatment for NTDs because it is a cost-effective way of making a significant health impact. Roughly 90% of all NTDs are easy to treat with drugs that cost less than \$2 per dose and need to be taken only once or twice annually. This means that all people at risk of contracting an NTD worldwide can be treated for less than \$2 billion over the next five years. With consistent treatment and control, several NTDs are being eliminated in various parts of the world, especially in Latin America, and guinea worm disease is on the cusp of eradication, meaning there is no risk of contracting the disease.

Some groups argue that the United States should increase funding for NTD programs to improve global health and advance domestic capacity to detect NTD cases that may arise, particularly for diseases like dengue and Chagas. Other groups maintain that countries like Brazil, China, and India that have received support for eliminating NTDs should play a greater role in addressing the health challenge, particularly as their own economies exhibit strong growth. The 112<sup>th</sup> Congress may debate funding much of the President's FY2011 budget, which includes \$155 million for the NTD Program, as well as upcoming FY2012 budget levels. The 112<sup>th</sup> Congress will likely weigh calls for greater spending on NTDs with other challenges, such as streamlining foreign and global health assistance to make them more effective and efficient, particularly in light of efforts to reduce federal spending. This report will be updated as events warrant.

## Contents

Background .....	1
Prevalence .....	3
Control and Treatment Strategies .....	6
Global NTD Plan.....	7
Advances in Tackling NTDs.....	8
U.S. Government Responses to NTDs .....	9
U.S. Agency for International Development .....	11
U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention .....	12
National Institutes of Health .....	12
Department of Defense .....	13
Congressional Actions to Address NTDs .....	13
Key Issues Related to NTD Control .....	14
Defining “NTDs” .....	16
Defining NTDs: Issues for Congress .....	16
Evaluating Reliance on Mass Drug Administration .....	16
Capacity to Sustain MDA .....	17
Capacity to Sustain MDA: Issues for Congress.....	18
Health Information Systems and Preventive Measures.....	19
Health Information Systems and NTD Prevention: Issues for Congress .....	21
Funding Research and Development of Innovative Tools .....	22
Funding Research and Development: Issues for Congress .....	24
Final Discussion .....	27

## Figures

Figure 1. Global Distribution of NTDs .....	2
Figure 2. Mapping of Seven Most Common NTDs in 12 Countries.....	4
Figure 3. Prevalence of 12 Most Common NTDs .....	5
Figure 4. Annual Incidence of Guinea Worm Diseases: 1989-2009.....	9
Figure 5. NTD Treatments Distributed by USAID: 2006-2009 .....	11
Figure D-1. Buruli Ulcer .....	39
Figure D-2. Chagas.....	39
Figure D-3. Dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever .....	40
Figure D-4. Dracunculiasis (guinea-worm diseases).....	40
Figure D-5. Fascioliasis.....	40
Figure D-6. Leishmaniasis.....	41
Figure D-7. Lymphatic filariasis (elephantiasis) .....	41
Figure D-8. Onchocerciasis (river blindness).....	42
Figure D-9. Schistosomiasis (bilharzia) .....	42
Figure D-10. STH: Hookworm.....	43

Figure D-11. STH: Ascariasis (Roundworm) .....	43
Figure D-12. STH: Trichuriasis (Whipworm) .....	43
Figure D-13. Trachoma .....	44
Figure D-14. Yaws .....	44
Figure E-1. Global Distribution of Schistosomiasis, 2009 .....	45
Figure E-2. Global Prevalence of HIV/AIDS, 2009 .....	45
Figure E-3. Global Distribution of Soil-Transmitted Helminths, 2009 .....	46
Figure E-4. Estimated Global Incidence of Malaria, 2006 .....	46
Figure E-5. Global Distribution of Soil-Transmitted Helminths, 2009 .....	47
Figure E-6. Estimated Global TB Incidence Rates, 2009 .....	47

## **Tables**

Table 1. Estimated Prevalence of and Deaths Caused by 12 Most Common NTDs .....	3
Table 2. Key Stakeholders in NTD Control .....	6
Table 3. Need, Pledges, and Cost of Meeting Targets of the Global NTD Plan by 2015 .....	8
Table 4. USAID and Department of State Global Health Spending: FY2006-FY2011 .....	14
Table 5. Spending on NTD Research and Development, 2007 and 2008 .....	24
Table 6. Spending on R&D for Health Products to Be Applied in Developing Countries, by Disease, 2007 and 2008 .....	25

## **Appendixes**

Appendix A. Acronyms .....	31
Appendix B. Glossary .....	32
Appendix C. NTDs: Prevalence, Characteristics, and Regional Distribution .....	34
Appendix D. Images of Neglected Tropical Diseases .....	39
Appendix E. Geographic Representation of NTD Co-Infection with HIV/AIDS, TB, and Malaria .....	45
Appendix F. Key Initiatives to Fight NTDs .....	48
Appendix G. Other NTD Programs .....	51

## **Contacts**

Author Information .....	54
--------------------------	----

## Background

Over the past decade, global health has become a priority in U.S. foreign policy, and U.S. appropriations for health-related efforts have more than tripled. Some observers expect the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress to debate the appropriate funding level for ongoing global health programs, to consider ways to make U.S. global health initiatives more effective and efficient, and to encourage other players to become more engaged, particularly countries such as China and India that are receiving assistance from donors to address health challenges while their economies are undergoing considerable growth.

Neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) have become an important part of U.S. global health assistance, particularly since 2006, when Congress made available \$15 million to combat such diseases and President George W. Bush launched the NTD Program. NTDs comprise a group of 17 diseases found mostly among the poorest in 149 countries and territories, primarily in areas with unsafe water, inadequate sanitation, and limited health services. (See **Appendix C** for a description of NTDs, including their symptoms and prevalence; **Appendix D** displays their images). The list is not exhaustive of all illnesses found among this population, but it accounts for those being targeted by the World Health Organization (WHO) and its partners.

Some diseases are transmitted by people; others are spread by vectors like snails, flies, or mosquitoes; and several others are found in contaminated sources (like infested soil or water).<sup>1</sup> At the same time that NTDs afflict primarily the impoverished, some studies indicate that NTDs exacerbate poverty.<sup>2</sup> People crippled by lymphatic filariasis (elephantiasis), for example, often cease to be employable or capable of engaging in income-producing activities. Children afflicted with soil-transmitted helminths (STH) have reduced cognitive functions, poorer health and nutrition, and lower school attendance rates. All of these factors reduce lifetime earning potential.

In 2003, WHO convened a meeting to discuss challenges in addressing a host of tropical diseases that were widely viewed to be receiving inadequate attention and worsening poverty.<sup>3</sup> Though efforts to address these diseases preceded the meeting, up until that point, national governments and global health groups had focused on each of the diseases separately. Following the meeting, WHO and other health experts dubbed a group of 17 infections “neglected tropical diseases” because data showed that people tended to be infected with more than one of these maladies at once. At least 100 countries face two endemic NTD disease burdens, for example, and 30 countries carry six or more NTD endemic disease burdens (**Figure 1**).

Though NTDs are a group of distinct diseases, they share three key characteristics. Specifically, NTDs

- **are long-standing health problems** that have afflicted human beings for centuries, have virtually disappeared in industrialized countries, and have continued to primarily affect the world’s poorest populations;
- **cause chronic conditions** that have relatively low mortality rates but may bring long-lasting deformities, which are irreversible in some cases, and impair health, quality of life, and earning potential; and
- **could benefit from greater research and development (R&D).** Additional research could identify second-line treatments that could be used should the

---


<sup>1</sup> **Appendix A** lists acronyms, and **Appendix B** defines health terms that are used throughout the report.

<sup>2</sup> WHO, “The evidence is in: deworming helps meet the Millennium Development Goals,” 2005.

<sup>3</sup> For more information on this meeting, see WHO, *Intensified Control of Neglected Diseases*, Report of an International Workshop, December 2003.

diseases become resistant against drugs. R&D could also identify treatments for incurable ailments like dengue fever and buruli ulcer. For other NTDs like sleeping sickness, innovative research could be used to develop medicines that could supplant commonly used drugs that are toxic, have complex treatment regimens, and carry significant side effects (including death). For all of the NTDs, R&D could be used to identify a vaccine and develop improved diagnostic tools that could be easily used in low-resource settings.

**Figure 1. Global Distribution of NTDs**



**Source:** David Molyneux, Peter Hotez, and Alan Fenwick, "Rapid-Impact Interventions": How a Policy of Integrated Control for Africa's Neglected Tropical Diseases Could Benefit the Poor," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 2, no. 11 (November 2005), pp. 1064-1070.

Health experts reconvened in 2005 and developed a framework for implementing an integrative approach to controlling NTDs, which focused on three key strategies:

- **Preventive Chemotherapy** through mass drug administration (MDA)—administering treatments to an affected population irrespective of individual disease status.
- **Vector control**—limiting or eradicating disease-carrying insects to reduce transmission of NTDs.
- **Disease surveillance and care**—improving the monitoring of NTD cases and the care of those carrying the diseases.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> WHO, *Strategic and Technical Meeting on Intensified Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases*, Report of an International Workshop, 2006.

## Prevalence

The best available estimates indicate that some 2 billion people are at risk of contracting an NTD, of whom more than 1 billion people are afflicted with one or more NTD. Roughly 534,000 are killed by an NTD annually (**Table 1**).<sup>5</sup>

**Table 1. Estimated Prevalence of and Deaths Caused by 12 Most Common NTDs**

Disease	Prevalence (millions)	Annual Deaths	Most Affected Region
Soil-transmitted helminths			
Ascariasis (roundworm)	807	3,000-60,000	South and East Asia/Pacific, Latin America, and sub-Saharan Africa
Trichuriasis (whipworm)	604	3,000-10,000	South and East Asia/Pacific, Latin America, and sub-Saharan Africa
Hookworm	576	3,000-65,000	South and East Asia/Pacific, Latin America, and sub-Saharan Africa
Schistosomiasis (snail fever)	207	150,000-200,000	Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa
Lymphatic Filariasis (elephantiasis)	120	<500	South and East Asia/Pacific, and sub-Saharan Africa
Dengue/Dengue Fever	50	12,500	Tropical Regions
Trachoma	84	<500	East Asia/Pacific, Latin America, Middle East/North Africa, and sub-Saharan Africa
Onchocerciasis (river blindness)	37	<500	Latin America and sub-Saharan Africa
Leishmaniasis	12	51,000	South Asia, Latin America, and sub-Saharan Africa
Chagas Disease	8.5	14,000	Latin America
Leprosy	0.4	6,000	India, Latin America, and sub-Saharan Africa
Human African Trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness)	0.3	48,000	Sub-Saharan Africa
Buruli Ulcer	0.05	Unknown	Sub-Saharan Africa
Dracunculiasis (guinea worm)	0.01	Unknown	Sub-Saharan Africa

**Source:** Peter Hotez, *Forgotten People, Forgotten Diseases* (Washington, DC: ASM Press, 2008), pp. 5, 106, and WHO, *Dengue and Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever*, Fact Sheet 117, March 2009.

**Note:** These figures should not be aggregated since people are often infected with more than one NTD at once. The three STH are counted as one disease. Five other NTDs are not included here because data on prevalence, morbidity, and mortality are limited. These are rabies, cysticercosis, echinococcosis, endemic treponematoses (yaws), and foodborne trematode infections.

Despite the common use of the estimates cited above, health experts do not know exactly how many people are infected with NTDs. Uncertainty regarding the number of people suffering from NTDs is rooted in several issues. The majority of people living with NTDs live in marginalized communities that lack sufficient health care, possibly obscuring a diagnosis. The full extent of the global NTD burden may also be hidden behind the symptoms that NTDs cause. Schistosomiasis, for example, can cause anemia and bladder cancer. Additionally, weak health systems may fail to


<sup>5</sup> WHO, *A Turning Point 2007: Report of the Global Partners' Meeting on Neglected Tropical Diseases*, Geneva, Switzerland, April 2007, p. ii; and Kaiser Family Foundation, *U.S. Global Health Policy Fact Sheet: Neglected Tropical Diseases*, July 2009. WHO, *Neglected Tropical Diseases: Hidden Success, Emerging Opportunities*, 2009.

document the true burden of NTDs. One study estimates that one NTD case is reported for every 40 occurrences.<sup>6</sup>

In addition, health information systems in many developing countries are in poor condition and are not equipped to provide precise data on disease prevalence. As such, disease assessments in developing countries, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, are often based on projections extrapolated from household surveys rather than medical records establishing a diagnosis.

Disease prevalence mapping for NTDs has reportedly been improving, however, as the international community boosts investments in addressing NTDs. According to the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID), by the end of 2009, the NTD burden of the seven most common NTDs had been identified in most districts throughout the countries supported by the United States (Figure 2).

**Figure 2. Mapping of Seven Most Common NTDs in 12 Countries**



**Source:** Personal communication with USAID, Infectious Diseases Division, December 23, 2010.

**Acronyms:** lymphatic filariasis (LF), onchocerciasis (oncho), schistosomiasis (schisto), and soil-transmitted helminths (STH). These are the seven most common NTDs worldwide.


**Note:** The U.S. NTD Program began in 2006, therefore Years 3 and 4 refer to 2008 and 2009, respectively. Those countries that had earlier pilot programs and aimed at integrating disease-specific NTD control activities are called fast-track countries. These five countries (Burkina Faso, Ghana, Mali, Niger, and Uganda) could begin scaling up activities immediately. By the end of year three (2008), seven more countries were added. These additional countries were: Bangladesh, Cameroon, Haiti, Nepal, Sierra Leone, Sudan (southern region), and Togo.

<sup>6</sup> Philip Musgrove and Peter Hotez, "Turning Neglected Tropical Diseases Into Forgotten Maladies," *Health Affairs*, vol. 28, no. 6 (November/December 2009), p. 1695.



Of the 14 most common NTDs, roughly 80% are caused by STH—a group of three parasitic worms (roundworms, whipworms, and hookworms) that are contracted through contact with tainted soil or ingestion of eggs found on produce grown in tainted soil (**Figure 3**). More than 1 billion people are afflicted with STH worldwide, of whom children are the most vulnerable and tend to carry more than one type of worm at a time.

**Figure 3. Prevalence of 12 Most Common NTDs**



**Source:** Created by CRS from Peter Hotez, *Forgotten People, Forgotten Diseases* (Washington, DC: ASM Press, 2008), pp. 5, 106, and WHO, *Dengue and Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever*, Fact Sheet 117, March 2009.

**Notes:** Other includes leishmaniasis, Chagas disease, leprosy, sleeping sickness, Buruli ulcer, and guinea worm disease.

NTDs independently cause high levels of illness and worsen the health of those plagued with other diseases like HIV/AIDS, malaria, and tuberculosis (TB). For example, some research indicates that

- **HIV/AIDS and schistosomiasis co-infection** is associated with higher HIV viral loads; people infected with schistosomiasis are more susceptible to HIV; and HIV-positive pregnant women who are co-infected with schistosomiasis have higher HIV-transmission rates than their counterparts without schistosomiasis;
- **malaria and STH co-infection** lead to higher incidence of anemia (a key cause of maternal and child mortality), and people with STH are more susceptible to malaria; and
- **TB and STH co-infection** is linked to higher rates of the conversion of latent TB into active TB, and TB vaccines are less effective in people co-infected with STH.

Of all global regions, sub-Saharan Africa is most affected by NTDs and by co-infection with HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria co-infection (**Appendix E**).

## Control and Treatment Strategies

The international community has long sought to raise awareness about and support for tackling NTDs. In 1949, for example, world health leaders met to discuss schistosomiasis and noted the disease's harmful effects, especially its degenerative effect on economic development and food production.<sup>7</sup> Through ratification of several World Health Assembly resolutions through WHO and implementation of numerous public-private partnerships, the international community has agreed to prioritize combating, eliminating, and eradicating NTDs.<sup>8</sup> Well over 100 groups are engaged in fighting NTDs, including academia, the private sector, global development and health organizations, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), foundations, and national governments (Table 2). Some initiatives focus on one NTD, while others tackle a group of NTDs (Appendix F).

**Table 2. Key Stakeholders in NTD Control**

Type of Partner	Key Roles
Recipient Governments	Development of integrated national plans, implementation, funding
Donor Governments	Funding, advocacy, technical assistance
International Organizations, especially WHO	Strategic direction, technical assistance, capacity building, drug procurement, monitoring and evaluation, disease surveillance, resource mobilization, donor coordination, advocacy
Philanthropic Organizations	Funding (grants), advocacy, and technical assistance
Pharmaceutical Companies	Research and development, drug donation and sales, logistics, research and development
Advocacy Groups	Advocacy and resource mobilization
Disease Based Initiatives	Assist national programs to implement NTD control, consensus building, resource mobilization
NGOs	Advocacy, financing, technical and operational support, implementation

**Source:** Summarized by CRS from the U.K. Department for International Development (DFID), *DFID Support to the Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases: Review and Recommendations*, 2009.

Among the 17 NTDs, 7 account for roughly 90% of the global NTD burden. These are the three soil-transmitted helminthes, schistosomiasis, lymphatic filariasis, trachoma, and onchocerciasis. Drugs used to treat these seven diseases are donated by pharmaceutical companies or sold at subsidized prices ranging between \$0.02 and \$1.02, and are taken once or twice annually (depending on the concentration of the disease). At such prices, some 500 million people can be treated for these seven afflictions at roughly \$400 million annually.<sup>9</sup> When correctly used, these drugs can be used to control and, in some cases, eliminate some of the NTDs.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>7</sup> Dr. Margaret Chan, Director-General, *Address to the WHO Global Partners Meeting on Neglected Tropical Diseases*, WHO, April 19, 2007.

<sup>8</sup> For a list of World Health Assembly resolutions related to tackling NTDs, see WHO, *First WHO Report on Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2010.

<sup>9</sup> WHO, *Integrated Control of the Neglected Tropical Diseases: A Neglected Opportunity Ripe for Action*, May 2008, p. 3.

<sup>10</sup> Countries terminate mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns after the disease no longer causes a significant disease burden. After ending an MDA campaign, WHO conducts research to confirm no new cases have occurred for at least three years. After this period, WHO classifies the disease as eliminated. Disease surveillance must be sustained, because the agent causing the disease is still present and can cause a resurgence of illness. Eradication, in contrast, means the agent causing the disease is no longer present and does not threaten human health.

Historically, countries attempted to address each of these diseases in distinct programs. Within the past decade, WHO and other health experts found that national responses to these seven diseases could be integrated to improve the efficacy and reduce costs. Specifically, health experts found that

- medicines used to treat each of the seven NTDs could be safely administered to people co-infected with other NTDs (in most cases);
- one drug could treat more than one disease;
- individual diagnosis would not be necessary and entire communities could be safely treated, usually once per year, irrespective of each person's disease status;
- non-health workers, including teachers and community volunteers, could dispense the treatments in non-clinical settings (like schools) rather than in health facilities (which are often poorly resourced and in limited supply in remote areas); and
- the integration of drug delivery enabled resources to be pooled.

By integrating drug delivery for these seven diseases, countries and their partners have reduced some of the costs related to treating the diseases separately. After integrating mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns, USAID documented cost-efficiencies up to 41% and a 30% reduction in training costs.<sup>11</sup> USAID attributed these savings to streamlining program management, social mobilization, drug supply chains, and trainings.

The remaining 10 NTDs must be treated individually and can be more difficult and costly to manage. No cures exist for some of the NTDs in this group and for others available treatments can carry significant side effects. Additionally, access to diagnostic tools for some of these diseases may be limited, and treatment tends to be more costly because the medicines often need to be administered by trained health practitioners in health settings for prolonged periods. For some of these diseases, namely Chagas disease, dengue, human African trypanosomiasis, and leishmaniasis, early detection and treatment are vital to avoid irreversible disability or death.

## **Global NTD Plan**

The global response to NTDs comprises complex mechanisms. Countries develop national NTD plans, primarily through the ministries of health and education, and receive financial support from donors to help carry out the national MDA plans. WHO and donors such as USAID review drug donation applications and support country-level planning, training, and monitoring, and evaluation. Pharmaceutical companies provide treatments used for national NTD plans, either for free or at highly discounted rates. NGOs and faith-based organizations partner with national ministries to implement the NTD plans. Academic groups, public-private partnerships, and pharmaceutical companies research and develop innovative treatments and control mechanisms.

In combating NTDs, countries and their supporters adhere to WHO protocols that outline best practices for addressing NTDs. In 2007, WHO released the *Global Plan to Combat Neglected Tropical Diseases*, which outlined several goals and targets for global control, elimination, and eradication of NTDs by 2015; strategic areas for action; and the policy framework for NTD implementation, monitoring, and evaluation.<sup>12</sup> Three key goals included in the plan were to

---

<sup>11</sup> Personal communication with USAID, Infectious Diseases Division, November 5, 2010.

<sup>12</sup> WHO, *Global Plan to Combat Neglected Tropical Diseases 2008-2015*, 2007.

- eliminate or eradicate NTDs targeted in resolutions of the World Health Assembly and regional committees;
- reduce the burden of NTDs that can be treated with MDA; and
- ensure that interventions using novel approaches are available, promoted, and accessible for NTDs that are costly and difficult to treat.

As of October 2010, the WHO estimates that it would cost \$1.7 billion to purchase enough drugs to treat all those at risk of contracting the seven most common NTDs. As of January 2010, donors had committed to provide all but one-third of the funds (\$552 million) needed to fund the Global NTD Plan (**Table 3**). WHO estimates that it would cost an additional \$1.2 billion to distribute the drugs.

**Table 3. Need, Pledges, and Cost of Meeting Targets of the Global NTD Plan by 2015**

Disease	Treatment	Needed Tablets (millions)	Total Pledged Tablets (millions)	Global Need Met (%)	Total Cost of Tablets (U.S. \$ millions)	Per Capita Annual Cost of Tablets (U.S. \$)
STH and LF	ALB	7,449	4,600	70%	124	0.02
Trachoma	AZI	1,690	500	30%	373	0.84
LF	DEC	7,248	7,300	63%	29	0.01
LF and Oncho	IVM	1,967	1,967	100%	1,042	1.59
Schisto	PZQ	1,942	100	5%	155	0.20
Trachoma	TZQ	19	not specified		3	0.33

**Source:** Compiled by CRS from personal communication with WHO, Neglected Tropical Diseases Department, October 22, 2010.

**Notes:** ALB can be substituted with MBD to treat STH at the same cost. The United States and Britain have pledged to provide up to 800 million PZQ tablets from 2011 to 2015. These pledges are not included in the table. Should these be included, the donations would be sufficient to meet the needs of nearly half of all people plagued with schistosomiasis.


**Acronyms:** Soil-Transmitted Helminths (STH), Lymphatic Filariasis (LF), Onchocerciasis (Oncho), schistosomiasis (Schisto), Albendazole (ALB), Azithromycin (AZI), Diethylcarbamazine (DEC), Ivermectin (IVM), Praziquantel (PZQ), and Tetracycline (TZQ).

## Advances in Tackling NTDs

In November 2010, WHO released its first report on NTDs. The report highlighted positive outcomes of long-standing efforts to tackle NTDs. The near eradication of dracunculiasis (guinea worm disease) is one of the most profound cases. Global incidence of guinea worm disease has declined 99% since 1986, when some 3.5 million people in Africa and Asia were infected. By 2009, only 3,190 cases were reported, and as of September 2010, WHO counted 1,613 cases (**Figure 4**).<sup>13</sup> Today, guinea worm disease is endemic in only four countries: Ethiopia, Ghana, Mali, and Sudan (accounting for about 90% of all cases). Prolonged conflict in Sudan has slowed efforts to eradicate guinea worm disease, though health experts remain optimistic about prospects that the illness could be the first infectious diseases to be eradicated since smallpox in 1979.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>13</sup> WHO, *First WHO Report on Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2010.

<sup>14</sup> See Donald Hopkins and P. Craig Withers, *Sudan's War and Eradication of Dracunculiasis*, Carter Center, March 18, 2003.

**Figure 4. Annual Incidence of Guinea Worm Diseases: 1989-2009**

Source: WHO, *First WHO Report on Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2010, p. 105.

Epidemiologists also celebrate advances in eliminating other NTDs. The Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), for example, reports that lymphatic filariasis is no longer transmitted in three of seven Latin American countries where the disease was endemic.<sup>15</sup> Likewise, aggressive MDA campaigns have interrupted the transmission of onchocerciasis (river blindness) in one-third of areas where the disease was endemic and eliminated the disease from Colombia.<sup>16</sup> As such, people in 6 of the 13 previously endemic countries are no longer treated for the disease, and no new cases of onchocerciasis-related blindness have been reported in the region since 2007.<sup>17</sup>

## U.S. Government Responses to NTDs

The United States has long supported efforts to control NTDs, and U.S.-based institutions, including the federal government, pharmaceutical companies, and philanthropic organizations, continue to lead global investments for and participation in fighting NTDs. Key U.S. government (USG) players include USAID, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Institutes of Health (NIH), and Department of Defense (DOD). Non-profit and private sector participants include the Carter Center, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and several private companies, including Merck, Johnson & Johnson, and Pfizer. While each of these play a key role in combating NTDs, this report focuses on efforts conducted and supported by the U.S. government.

In 2006, the U.S. government launched the Neglected Tropical Disease Control Program, its first effort to address a group of NTDs. The program was created in response to language in the

<sup>15</sup> PAHO and WHO, *Elimination of Neglected Diseases and Other Poverty-Related Infections*, July 10, 2009, p. 3.

<sup>16</sup> Merck & Co., “Public Health Officials Announce First Country to Eliminate Transmission of the Tropical Disease Onchocerciasis,” press release, November 15, 2007.

<sup>17</sup> WHO, *First WHO Report on Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2010, p. 124 and Julie Jacobson, “Neglected & Other Infectious Diseases: Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation,” Presentation at the Institute of Medicine conference on NTDs, Washington, DC, September 23, 2010, p. 14.

FY2006 Foreign Operations Appropriations Act, which made available up to \$15 million “to support an integrated response to the control of neglected diseases including intestinal parasites, schistosomiasis, lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis, trachoma and leprosy.”<sup>18</sup> The language signaled congressional support for calls to integrate and expand access to treatments against the seven most common NTDs. Until that time, most countries and their implementing partners focused on a single disease rather than targeting NTD co-infection. The NTD Program sought to document the feasibility of integrated NTD control and facilitate the advancement of this approach.

At the outset, the NTD program aimed to support the provision of 160 million NTD treatments to 40 million people through integrated programs in 15 countries. President George W. Bush reaffirmed his commitment to the program in 2008 and proposed spending \$350 million from FY2008 through FY2013 on expanding the fighting against the seven NTDs to 30 countries.<sup>19</sup>

The NTD Program continues to be an important part of U.S. global health assistance under the Obama Administration. In May 2009, President Barack Obama announced the Global Health Initiative (GHI), which aims to streamline U.S. global health efforts. The President indicated that a key part of the initiative is to bolster support for U.S. efforts to combat NTDs and to integrate such efforts into other U.S. global health programs. The 2009-2014 Lantos-Hyde United States Government Malaria Strategy, for example, identifies plans to integrate malaria and NTD activities with other U.S. global health programs.<sup>20</sup> The Administration also intends to expand the provision of drugs that expel intestinal worms from children through USAID-supported education programs.

According to the Obama Administration, the NTD Program is part of a complete package of services the United States provides to improve the health of women, newborns, and children worldwide.<sup>21</sup> Through GHI, the Obama Administration set ambitious NTD targets in which the U.S. government would contribute to

- the administration of 1 billion NTD treatments;
- a 50% decrease in the prevalence of seven NTDs in 30 countries by 2013 that account for 70% of the global disease burden of the targeted diseases;
- the elimination of onchocerciasis in Latin America by 2016;
- the elimination of leprosy in all endemic countries by 2016; and
- the elimination of lymphatic filariasis globally by 2017.

As of September 30, 2010, the NTD Program had supported the provision of over 385 million treatments (**Figure 5**).<sup>22</sup> As of October 2010, USAID had identified 21 focus countries: Bangladesh, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Ethiopia,

<sup>18</sup> Section 593, P.L. 109-102, FY2006 Foreign Operations Appropriations.

<sup>19</sup> The seven NTDs are lymphatic filariasis (elephantiasis); schistosomiasis (snail fever); trachoma (eye infection); onchocerciasis (river blindness); and three soil-transmitted helminths (STHs—hookworm, roundworm, whipworm). See White House, “President Bush Announces New Global Initiative To Combat Neglected Tropical Diseases,” press release, February 20, 2008.

<sup>20</sup> USAID, HHS, CDC & Department of State, *Lantos-Hyde United States Government Malaria Strategy, 2009-2014*, April 2010.


<sup>21</sup> Department of State, *Implementation of the Global Health Initiative: Consultation Document*, February 2010, p. 20.

<sup>22</sup> Personal communication with USAID, Infectious Disease Division, USAID, December 27, 2010.



Ghana, Guinea, Haiti, Indonesia, Mali, Mozambique, Nepal, Niger, the Philippines, Uganda, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Sudan (southern region), Tanzania, Togo, and Vietnam.<sup>23</sup>

**Figure 5. NTD Treatments Distributed by USAID: 2006-2009**



**Source:** Personal communication with USAID, Infectious Diseases Division, December 23, 2010.

**Notes:** 2006 (Y1), 2007 (Y2), 2008 (Y3), 2009 (Y4)

## U.S. Agency for International Development

USAID leads the NTD Program. In carrying out the program, USAID partners with foreign governments and other groups to bolster health systems, improve the capacity of the recipient countries to carry out NTD programs, coordinate donors' NTD efforts, and build political commitment to expand and sustain NTD programs.<sup>24</sup> Key functions of the NTD Program include the following:

- **Supporting national strategic planning**—USAID works with governments to develop and/or strengthen their national five-year NTD strategic plans. These efforts involve facilitating the coordination of ongoing donor efforts and bolstering country commitment to and ownership of programs.
- **Supporting implementation of NTD programs**—USAID provides technical and financial support to help countries achieve national coverage of integrated NTD programs. Related activities include disease mapping, securing drug donations, procuring non-donated NTD drugs (about 20% of NTD Program budget), training health workers and community volunteers, strengthening supply chains and logistics management, and social marketing.

<sup>23</sup> Ibid. At the time this report was published, only 12 countries were listed on the NTD Program website.

<sup>24</sup> Information in this section was drawn from personal communication with USAID, Infectious Disease Division, December 10, 2010.

- **Monitoring and evaluation**—USAID supports efforts to measure the impact of NTD programs and develops models for integrating NTD projects into other global health efforts. The models focus on mapping disease prevalence, monitoring mass drug administration (MDA) campaigns to measure effectiveness and detect adverse effects, forecasting drug supplies, and tracking progress in meeting U.S. and global goals for disease elimination. To facilitate sharing of best practices, USAID publishes these findings and serves on several working groups with WHO and other partners.
- **Contributing to global guidelines and policies for NTD control**—USAID partners with WHO to share and refine tools, best practices, and lessons learned that have contributed to the refinement of global and regional standards for treating the seven most common NTDs. Some of the tools developed by USAID are now endorsed by WHO and are packaged as a standard “NTD Rollout Package” that countries use to establish or expand integrated NTD control.
- **Leveraging related USG programs**—where applicable, USAID leverages resources for programs related to malaria, education, water and sanitation, maternal and child health, and agriculture to NTD programs (e.g., offering deworming tablets in USAID-supported education programs).

## U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CDC focuses on the control and elimination of lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis (river blindness), trachoma, schistosomiasis, and STH.<sup>25</sup> Through technical assistance to global partners and countries, CDC

- trains health workers, including ministry officials;
- conducts research for the development of tools to diagnose and combat NTDs, and to improve the integration of national NTD plans with other health interventions;
- supports mass drug administration for NTDs;
- supports monitoring and evaluation of MDA campaigns;
- develops global policy and guidelines for NTD programs; and
- studies NTDs not covered under the NTD Program to identify and develop tools and approaches to control and eliminate them.

## National Institutes of Health

Several institutes within NIH research tools to treat and eradicate NTDs. In FY2009, Congress provided \$24 million to establish the Therapeutics for Rare and Neglected Diseases (TRND) program. The program applies expertise found across several institutes to develop new candidate drugs for neglected diseases.<sup>26</sup> Additionally, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) incorporates basic research on NTDs into several of its ongoing research efforts, including studies underway in the NIAID Laboratory on Parasitic Diseases and the Vector

---

<sup>25</sup> CDC, National Center for Zoonotic, Vector-Borne and Enteric Diseases, “Parasitic Diseases Branch,” August 2009.

<sup>26</sup> NIH, “NIH Announces New Program to Develop Therapeutics for Rare and Neglected Diseases,” press release, May 20, 2009.



Biology Research Program, the Filariasis Research Reagent Repository Center, and the Schistosomiasis Resource Center. NIAID also participates in several public-private partnerships to develop new prevention and treatment options for NTDs.<sup>27</sup>

## Department of Defense

The Department of Defense (DOD) has long recognized the detrimental effects of tropical diseases, especially in relationship to force protection.<sup>28</sup> Through collaborative agreements with the private sector and academia, for example, U.S. military infectious diseases programs played a significant role in the development of widely used vaccines, including those for yellow fever, mumps, measles, and polio. DOD also contributed to identifying the current dosing regimen for cutaneous leishmaniasis and the vaccine for Japanese encephalitis.

Ongoing efforts related to NTDs, such as research for the development of a vaccine against dengue fever, are carried out in several DOD facilities, including eight infectious disease laboratories located around the world, animal laboratories, biosafety containment laboratories, a pilot vaccine facility, and clinical trials units.<sup>29</sup> Related DOD activities center on

- developing vaccines to prevent and drugs to treat infectious diseases;
- creating techniques to identify and diagnose infections rapidly;
- collecting and analyzing data to optimize infectious disease control strategies; and
- evaluating vector control methods.

## Congressional Actions to Address NTDs

Since the November 2010 congressional elections, observers have speculated whether a change in House leadership and greater minority influence in the Senate might lead to reduced spending on foreign aid in general and global health in particular. However, bipartisan support for global health programs has remained strong in recent years, and interest in addressing NTDs has grown under both Republican and Democratic leadership. In 2008, Representative Donald Payne (D-NJ) and former U.S. Representative John Boozman (R-AR) launched the Congressional Malaria Caucus to raise congressional awareness about U.S. efforts to address malaria worldwide. In 2009, the caucus added NTD control and prevention to its mission and became the Malaria and Neglected Tropical Diseases Caucus. U.S. Representatives Payne and Jeff Fortenberry (R-NE) will co-chair the caucus in the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress.

Congress has also demonstrated its support of controlling NTDs through steady increases in appropriations for addressing the diseases. From FY2006 through FY2010, Congress made available \$135 million for NTD programs (**Table 4**). In each fiscal year between FY2006 and FY2008, Congress provided about \$15 million to USAID for NTD programs. In FY2009, Congress made available \$25 million for NTDs, some 67% higher than FY2008-enacted levels. Congress more than doubled appropriations for NTDs in FY2010, making available \$65 million.

---

<sup>27</sup> NIAID webpage on its role in NTD research at <http://www.niaid.nih.gov/topics/tropicalDiseases/research/Pages/role.aspx>, accessed on November 20, 2010.

<sup>28</sup> Unless otherwise indicated, information in this section is drawn from U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, *USAMRMC Product Portfolio*, June 2007.

<sup>29</sup> These facilities include the Global Emerging Infections System, the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Naval Medical Research Centers, and regional commands, including U.S. Africa Command. For more information on DOD's foreign assistance, see CRS Report RL34639, *The Department of Defense Role in Foreign Assistance: Background, Major Issues, and Options for Congress*, coordinated by Nina M. Serafino.

The President requested \$155 million for the NTD Program in FY2011. Congress has not yet enacted the FY2011 foreign operations appropriations, though the Senate Appropriations Committee reported out \$100 million for NTD efforts (S. 3676). Congress did enact the Continuing Appropriations and Surface Transportation Extensions Act, FY2011 (P.L. 111-322), which maintained funding for NTD programs at FY2010 levels through March 4, 2011.

**Table 4. USAID and Department of State Global Health Spending: FY2006-FY2011**  
(current U.S. \$ millions)

Program	FY2006 Actual	FY2007 Actual	FY2008 Actual	FY2009 Actual	FY2010 Actual	FY2006- FY2010 Total	FY2011 Request	Change FY2010- FY2011
CS/MH	447.8	427.9	521.9	651.0	681.6	2730.1	1058.0	55.2%
VC	29.7	19.6	20.5	30.5	18.4	118.7	18.1	-1.4%
HIV/AIDS	373.8	345.9	371.1	350.0	350.0	1790.8	350.0	0.0%
OID	445.1	586.4	707.9	781.4	1085.1	3605.8	1220.6	12.5%
TB	91.5	94.9	162.2	176.6	243.2	768.3	250.6	3.1%
Malaria	102.0	248.0	349.6	385.0	585.0	1669.6	680.0	16.2%
H5NI/H1N1	161.5	161.5	115.0	140.0	156.0	734.0	75.0	-51.9%
NTD	15.0	15.0	15.0	25.0	65.0	135.0	155.0	138.5.4%
Other	75.1	67.0	66.1	54.8	30.9	293.9	60.0	94.2%
FP/RH	435.0	435.6	457.2	552.4	650.6	2530.8	715.7	10.0%
Global Fund	247.5	247.5	0.0	100.0	0.0	595.0	0.0	0.0%
<b>USAID Total</b>	<b>1,978.5</b>	<b>2,062.9</b>	<b>2,078.6</b>	<b>2,465.3</b>	<b>2,780.5</b>	<b>11,366.2</b>	<b>3,362.5</b>	<b>20.9%</b>
State HIV/AIDS	1,771.1	2,869.0	4,116.4	4,559.0	4,609.0	17,930.5	4,800.0	4.1%
Global Fund	544.5	724.0	840.3	1,000.0	1,050.0	4,158.8	1,000.0	-4.8

**Sources:** Appropriations legislation and correspondence with USAID Budget Office.

**Notes:** Global Fund refers to the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria, an international organization that combats the three diseases. The United States is the largest donor to this program.

## Key Issues Related to NTD Control

The term “neglected tropical diseases” describes a group of diseases with distinct characteristics. Some are easily treatable; others are not. Drugs can interrupt the transmission cycle of some NTDs, but treatments cannot eliminate others. The use of this term has helped to raise awareness about these long-standing health challenges, but it risks simplifying a complicated health challenge. Since all of these diseases are mostly rooted in poverty and the poor infrastructure that is usually found in destitute areas, the enduring solution to these illnesses is economic development. Industrialized countries, including the United States, have eliminated these diseases in their territories by combining drug treatment with the construction and use of latrines, modernization of agricultural practices, and utilization of improved water systems. Certainly, increased investments in NTD treatments have ameliorated the suffering of millions. More than 80% of NTDs, however, cannot be eliminated by drug delivery alone. As such, countries and their partners will need to indefinitely support the treatment of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminths if complementary disease control methods are not applied.

In times of fiscal austerity, countries are re-evaluating their foreign assistance programs and seeking the most cost-efficient and effective approach to supporting international development. On January 19, 2011, USAID Administrator Rajiv Shah discussed the future of USAID and indicated that USAID would increasingly consider the unit cost of impact when deciding which programs to fund or continue funding.<sup>30</sup> NTD control, through treatment alone, is one of the least expensive health interventions that the United States supports. It costs less than \$2 annually to treat each person who needs to be treated for the seven most common NTDs that account for roughly 90% of all illnesses in this group. In contrast, the annual cost of first-line antiretroviral therapy for HIV/AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa averages about \$95 per capita.<sup>31</sup> When antiretroviral treatments become less effective, the second-line treatments are considerably more expensive. These are not equally comparable, however, because HIV is always fatal if not treated, and only some NTDs are lethal when untreated. Also, HIV treatments must be taken daily, while treatments for the seven most common NTDs are usually taken once or twice annually. Nonetheless, both illnesses affect affected populations' capacity to live a productive life.

In FY2010, global HIV/AIDS programs and U.S. contributions to the Global Fund accounted for roughly 70% of all U.S. global health spending. In that fiscal year, U.S. support for NTDs amounted to less than 1% of the total global health budget. The section below explores key debates related to NTD control and includes a discussion on how Congress might address each of these arguments, which include the following:

- **Defining NTDs.** There is not yet a consensus on which diseases should be considered NTDs. WHO classifies 17 diseases, excluding HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria, as NTDs. Yet some groups consider these three diseases to be neglected as well. With no agreement on which diseases constitute NTDs, investments in and analysis on this topic can be skewed and disjointed.
- **Evaluating reliance on MDA.** Although MDA campaigns have reduced disease prevalence and interrupted transmission, several limitations to MDA remain. For example, countries must have plans for continuing treatment after foreign aid ends, mismanagement and wider use of NTD treatments can result in disease resistance, and MDA does not interrupt transmission cycles of all NTDs.
- **Integrating development and health efforts and strengthening health information systems.** Depending on how development projects are implemented, they can exacerbate or decrease NTD infection rates. For instance, irrigation schemes could provide breeding grounds for vectors that spread NTDs, new transport infrastructure could facilitate the spread of parasites and vectors, and population resettlement may introduce parasite carriers to new areas.
- **Funding research and development (R&D).** Observers debate whether ongoing R&D efforts meet demands for NTD-related vaccines, treatments, and diagnostic tools. Specific concerns revolve around the toxicity and complicated regimens of some treatments, the absence of field-ready diagnostic tools for some NTDs, a lack of vaccines to prevent disease transmission, and vulnerability to drug resistance.

---

<sup>30</sup> USAID, *The Modern Development Enterprise*, Remarks by Dr. Rajiv Shah at the Center for Global Development, January 19, 2011.

<sup>31</sup> Eran Bendavid et al., "The Relation of Price of Antiretroviral Drugs and Foreign Assistance with Coverage of HIV Treatment in Africa: Retrospective Study," *British Medical Journal*, vol. 341 (November 18, 2010), p. c6218.

## Defining “NTDs”

While experts agree that NTDs are long-standing health problems, cause chronic conditions, and have a high disease burden with low mortality, they do not agree on which diseases should be included in this list. Some health experts advocate an expansive list of diseases, while others promote concentrating resources on the seven most common and easily treated NTDs. In the 111<sup>th</sup> Congress, members of the Malaria and NTD Caucus urged USAID Administrator Rajiv Shah to develop a funding strategy for research and development of tools to treat, control, and eliminate leishmaniasis, Human African trypanosomiasis, and Chagas disease, which can be fatal if left untreated.<sup>32</sup> Supporters of expanding the definition also point to the threat some of these diseases could pose to the United States, particularly Chagas disease and dengue.<sup>33</sup>

Arguments against expanding the U.S. approach vary. Some fear that an overly expansive definition might diminish the gains made in raising support for countering these afflictions. Advocates of a concentrated approach, particularly on the seven most common NTDs, argue that these diseases should be eliminated or eradicated first to build momentum in sustained global health efforts. Additionally, when considering the full spectrum of NTDs, the seven most common NTDs are the least expensive to treat, increasing the likelihood that countries might sustain treatment campaigns, where necessary.

## Defining NTDs: Issues for Congress

USAID leads U.S. efforts to combat NTDs, though other agencies and departments play a role in addressing the diseases (see “U.S. Government Responses to NTDs”). With the exception of language included in the FY2006 State, Foreign Operations appropriations,<sup>34</sup> Congress has not specified which neglected diseases the United States ought to address. Should Congress decide to do so, it could provide language defining NTDs through legislation similar to the Lantos-Hyde Act (P.L. 110-293), which outlines and authorizes the U.S. strategy to combating HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria internationally. Alternatively, it could include such language in annual appropriations legislation.

## Evaluating Reliance on Mass Drug Administration

Mass drug administration is at the heart of many NTD programs, including the U.S. NTD Program. In some cases, treating an entire population in an endemic area, irrespective of their disease status, has broken the transmission cycle of infection. In Latin America, for example, aggressive MDA campaigns have significantly reduced the disease burden of lymphatic filariasis. The Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) believes that transmission of the disease has been interrupted in three of seven endemic countries in the region.<sup>35</sup> Likewise, Merck, Inc.,

---

<sup>32</sup> Members of the Malaria and NTD Caucus, *Letter to USAID Administrator Rajiv Shah*, March 23, 2010.

<sup>33</sup> After detecting the parasite that causes Chagas disease in the U.S. blood supply, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) required in May 2004 that all blood donated throughout the United States be tested for Chagas. FDA, *Draft Guidance for Industry: Use of Serological Tests to Reduce the Risk of Transmission of Trypanosoma cruzi Infection in Whole Blood and Blood Components for Transfusion and Human Cells, Tissues, and Cellular and Tissue-Based Products*, March 2009.

<sup>34</sup> See Section 593, P.L. 109-102, FY2006 Foreign Operations Appropriations.

<sup>35</sup> PAHO and WHO, *Elimination of Neglected Diseases and Other Poverty-Related Infections*, July 10, 2009, p. 3.

reports that onchocerciasis (river blindness) has been interrupted in one-third of areas formerly afflicted by the disease and that the disease has been eliminated from Colombia.<sup>36</sup>

There is debate, however, on the extent to which the United States should rely on this approach. A number of health analysts assert greater reliance on MDA might divert investments from strategies that could eliminate the diseases through more lasting measures, such as water and sanitation projects.<sup>37</sup> Observers are also concerned that prolonged use of MDA campaigns for diseases that cannot be eliminated with available medicines (such as soil-transmitted helminths and schistosomiasis that account for more than 80% of all NTDs) could hasten drug resistance. Scientists have already detected evidence of some disease resistance against treatments for hookworm, a soil-transmitted helminth.<sup>38</sup> Other health experts counter that these issues should not inhibit application of life-saving and health-enhancing measures that have led to the elimination of some NTDs. Additional issues at the heart of this debate include

- the capacity of countries to sustain MDA;
- the inability of MDA to break the transmission cycle of diseases such as STH;
- and
- application of preventive measures and disease surveillance.

### **Capacity to Sustain MDA**

MDA has been used to advance efforts to eliminate some NTDs. Such progress, however, can be compromised if there is no effective long-term plan in place, particularly for NTDs like schistosomiasis and STH, which dwell in water and soil and have complicated life cycles that cannot be interrupted with MDA alone. In such cases, MDA campaigns must be sustained until the parasites are eliminated from the water or soil. At present, the U.S. NTD Program does not support vector management or other strategies that would interrupt the transmission of these diseases (such as latrine construction and improved water supply).

In Mali, for example, WHO and its partners launched a 10-year MDA campaign, which significantly reduced the prevalence of schistosomiasis. In 1992, the Malian government assumed full financial control of the program. The government was not able, however, to consistently procure drugs, maintain drug delivery, or reduce exposure of people to contaminated water.<sup>39</sup> Evaluators felt the treatment campaign that focused exclusively on schistosomiasis burdened the health system and diverted resources from routine health care.<sup>40</sup> After a decade of inconsistent MDA, prevalence rates had rebounded and reflected those seen before the campaign was initiated. In 2004, donors returned and supported the launch of another MDA campaign. This

---

<sup>36</sup> Merck & Co., “Public Health Officials Announce First Country to Eliminate Transmission of the Tropical Disease Onchocerciasis,” press release, November 15, 2007.

<sup>37</sup> See Burton Singer, “Viewpoint by Burton Singer: Bring Back Primary Prevention for Relieving the Burden of NTDs,” *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 5 (May 2010), p. e1000255; and TR Burkot et al., “The Argument for Integrating Vector Control with Multiple Drug Administration Campaigns to Ensure Elimination of Lymphatic Filariasis,” *Filaria Journal*, vol. 5, no. 10 (August 2006).

<sup>38</sup> See, P J Hotez, “Mass Drug Administration and Integrated Control for the World’s High-Prevalence Neglected Tropical Diseases,” *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 85, no. 6 (June 2009), pp. 659-664.

<sup>39</sup> Archie Clements et al., “A Comparative Study of the Spatial Distribution of Schistosomiasis in Mali in 1984-1989 and 2004-2006,” *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, vol. 3, no. 5 (May 2009), p. e431.

<sup>40</sup> Anna Cavalli et al., “Interactions between Global Health Initiatives and Country Health Systems: The Case of a Neglected Tropical Diseases Control Program in Mali,” *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, vol. 4, no. 8 (August 2010), p. e798.

time, however, Mali and its partners launched an integrated treatment campaign that focused on more than one NTD and consolidated health management resources (see “Control and Treatment Strategies”).

In addition to raising questions about the sustainability of some MDA programs, the Mali case also raises a long-standing debate about the extent to which donors should invest directly in health systems.<sup>41</sup> Some development organizations encourage the United States and other donors to reduce their reliance on contractors and channel more health assistance through local governments and organizations.<sup>42</sup> One official from the Ugandan Ministry of Health urges USAID to fully fund its NTD Program through the national health ministry rather than RTI International (an organization contracted to administer the U.S. NTD Program). He is concerned that the ministry will not have the capacity to sustain the program once the financial support ceases since Ugandan stakeholders are not included in all aspects of project management and coordination.<sup>43</sup>

One study evaluating the first three years of the U.S. NTD Program, written in part by USAID officials, appears to contradict the assertion of the Ugandan official, indicating health system strengthening is an integral part of U.S. support. Specifically, the article highlights how resources provided through the NTD program were used to train more than 220,000 people, primarily community-based health workers and drug distributors, during the first three years of the program. The authors assert, “[t]raining is designed to empower these communities to treat NTDs within their own populations.”<sup>44</sup>

### **Capacity to Sustain MDA: Issues for Congress**

Several actions by the Obama Administration indicate an effort to enhance country ownership of global health programs. For example, country ownership has been highlighted as a key goal of the Global Health Initiative,<sup>45</sup> USAID Administrator Rajiv Shah has made public statements endorsing country ownership,<sup>46</sup> and the Office of the Global AIDS Coordinator (OGAC) advocates applying a “Partnership Framework” to HIV/AIDS programs funded through the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR).<sup>47</sup> The Partnership Framework outlines the role of the United States and recipient governments and describes how country ownership will ultimately assume full control of the programs. In Nigeria, for example, the government commits to funding half of the PEPFAR program by 2015.<sup>48</sup> According to one USAID official, key

---

<sup>41</sup> In June 2010, the Center for Global Development (CGD) recorded an event where key stakeholders debated how to enhance country ownership of health programs. See <http://blogs.cgdev.org/globalhealth/2010/06/country-ownership-and-rethinking-global-health-partnerships-from-dependence-to-symbiosis.php>.

<sup>42</sup> See Margaret Chan et al., “Meeting the Demand for Results and Accountability: A Call for Action on Health Data from Eight Global Health Agencies,” *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 7, no. 1 (January 26, 2010), p. e1000223; and Lawrence O. Gostin and Emily A. Mok, “Grand Challenges in Global Health Governance,” *British Medical Bulletin*, vol. 90, no. 1 (April 17, 2009), pp. 7-18.

<sup>43</sup> Gavin Yamey, “The Unsung Hero of Neglected Tropical Diseases: Interview with Narcis Kabatereine,” *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, vol. 3, no. 12 (December 2009), p. e546.

<sup>44</sup> Mary Linehan et al., “Integrated Implementation of Programs Targeting Neglected Tropical Diseases Through Preventive Chemotherapy: Proving the Feasibility at National-Scale,” *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, vol. 84, no. 1 (2011), pp. 5-14.

<sup>45</sup> For more information on GHI, see <http://www.pepfar.gov/ghi/index.htm>.

<sup>46</sup> John Donnelly, *Shah: ‘We Want Real Outcomes Health’*, Aspen Global Health and Development, September 15, 2010, <http://www.ministerial-leadership.org/blog/shah-we-want-real-outcomes-health>.

<sup>47</sup> See the PEPFAR website on Partnership Frameworks at <http://www.pepfar.gov/frameworks/>.

<sup>48</sup> Ambassador Eric Goosby advocated the application of the Partnership Framework in his statement for U.S.



components of the NTD Program are to measure and document recipient country contributions to NTD responses; develop clear funding plans that outline the role of the donors and recipient country; and require commitments from participating countries to sustain and/or expand national financing of NTD control, including ensuring duty-free import of NTD drugs and dedicating at least one staff person to manage the NTD programs.<sup>49</sup>

Although USAID reportedly requires NTD focus countries to demonstrate political commitment to sustaining NTD plans, Congress might encourage or require the President to apply the Partnership Framework or similar approaches more broadly across all U.S. global health programs, including those aimed at NTDs and implemented in non-GHI or -NTD focus countries. Though it is too early to evaluate the Partnership Framework, the plan appears to include some of the processes that the Mali NTD program lacked: a clear strategy for preparing countries to assume full ownership of health projects, an outline of roles and responsibilities, alignment with national health plans, and investment in the national health system.

Several health and development experts argue that health systems of recipient countries must be strengthened if NTD programs supported by donors are to be sustained. One consortium of aid organizations recommends that donors allocate 15% of their budgets aimed at specific diseases to health system strengthening.<sup>50</sup> Should the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress support this idea, it could encourage or require that a portion of funds for the NTD Program (or other U.S. health programs like PEPFAR) be used on health system strengthening. The 112<sup>th</sup> Congress might also consider legislation similar to the Global HEALTH Act (H.R. 4933), which was introduced in the 111<sup>th</sup> Congress. The bill called for addressing some of the issues related to broad-based health training, and directed the President to develop a five-year global health strategy that emphasizes country ownership, integrated and coordinated U.S. global health assistance, and funding based on performance.

Other groups argue that concerns about the capacity of recipient countries to sustain NTD programs are unfounded for several reasons. First, donors are supporting plans developed largely by endemic countries. As such, countries receiving support have already prioritized and allocated some of their own resources to combating the diseases. Second, NTD treatment programs aimed at the seven most common NTDs pose little burden to health systems, as they can be administered by non-health workers (like teachers) outside of clinical settings. This community-based approach, some argue, bolsters weak health systems because it reaches people living in remote areas that usually have little access to health services, and increases demand for health services and awareness of health conditions in marginalized regions. Finally, budgets for treating the seven most common NTDs can be decreased as the diseases are eliminated. MDA cannot be independently applied to eliminate STH, however, which accounts for 80% of the NTD disease burden. Eggs from these parasites persist for years in soil, and the parasites can continue to thrive in other hosts, such as cattle.

## **Health Information Systems and Preventive Measures**

NTDs are diseases of poverty. Strategies used to alleviate poverty can also help to prevent, eliminate, or eradicate these diseases. For example, development activities related to agricultural irrigation, dam construction, and forest clearance can facilitate the interruption of disease transmission. At the same time, if such projects are implemented without identifying disease

---

Congress, House Committee on Foreign Affairs, *PEPFAR: From Emergency to Sustainability and Advances Against HIV/AIDS*, 111<sup>th</sup> Cong., September 29, 2010, see <http://internationalrelations.house.gov/111/goo092910.pdf>.

<sup>49</sup> Personal communication with USAID, Infectious Diseases Division, November 5, 2010.

<sup>50</sup> See the webpage for the “15 by 2015” campaign at <http://www.15by2015.org/>.

prevalence through health impact assessments and integrating certain health measures, they can offer breeding grounds to several disease-carrying vectors, such as mosquitoes, and accelerate disease transmission.<sup>51</sup> Examples abound of development projects facilitating the spread of vector-borne diseases. In Tanzania, for example, abandoned and poorly maintained sanitation projects have been identified as breeding grounds for mosquitoes carrying malaria or lymphatic filariasis.<sup>52</sup> Dam projects have led to the increase or introduction of schistosomiasis in Cameroon, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Mali, Namibia, Senegal, and Sudan.<sup>53</sup>

Development experts need access to reliable data, however, to know where these diseases exist. Available data in most poor countries, however, are often outdated and based on small household surveys (see “Prevalence”). If managers of large-scale development programs worked with health practitioners to ensure that disease prevalence surveys are conducted, then the inadvertent spread of disease during development projects could be avoided.<sup>54</sup> At the same time, health practitioners could gain a better understanding of disease prevalence, which could help in the planning, implementation, and monitoring of health initiatives.

The process of combating diseases through an approach that combines responses by practitioners across sectors, particularly those related to health, agriculture, water, construction, and waste disposal, is known as integrated vector management (IVM). WHO calls for an intersectoral approach to controlling NTDs that is based on five areas: MDA; intensified case-management; vector control; safe water, sanitation, and hygiene; and veterinary public health (that is, applying veterinary sciences to ensure the health and well-being of humans). Most countries, including the United States, that have successfully eliminated NTDs have utilized an IVM approach, particularly in the areas of water and sanitation.<sup>55</sup> Experts at the CDC assert that water and sanitation improvement should be a central component of any effective and sustainable approach to controlling NTDs.<sup>56</sup> One estimate indicates that improved sanitation and water safety can reduce the prevalence rates of schistosomiasis by 75% and blinding trachoma by 25%.<sup>57</sup> Water and sanitation improvement are particularly important when addressing pathogens that cannot be eliminated by drugs alone, such as STH and schistosomiasis.<sup>58</sup>

---

<sup>51</sup> Louis Lambrechts et al., “Shifting Priorities in Vector Biology to Improve Control of Vector-Borne Disease,” *Tropical Medicine and International Health*, vol. 14, no. 12 (December 2009).

<sup>52</sup> Marcia C. Castro et al., “The Importance of Drains for the Larval Development of Lymphatic Filariasis and Malaria Vectors in Dar es Salaam, United Republic of Tanzania,” *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, vol. 4, no. 5 (May 2010).

<sup>53</sup> L. Chitsulo et al., “The global status of schistosomiasis and its control,” *Acta Tropica*, vol. 77, no. 1 (October 23, 2000), pp. 41-51.

<sup>54</sup> For examples of the role of vector control in eradicating NTDs, see Darren J Gray et al., “Schistosomiasis Elimination: Lessons From the Past Guide the Future,” *The Lancet*, vol. 10, no. 10 (October 2010), pp. 733-736; and Moses J. Bockarie et al., “Role of Vector Control in the Global Program to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis,” *The Annual Review of Entomology*, vol. 54 (January 2009), pp. 469-487.

<sup>55</sup> In the early 1900s, the Rockefeller Foundation and its implementing partners combined wide-scale sanitation projects with drug administration and public education to eliminate hookworm infections, which plagued much of the southeastern United States at the time. For more on this approach, see E.A. Alderman et al., *The Rockefeller Sanitary Commission for the Eradication of Hookworm Disease* (Washington, DC: Offices of the Commission, 1915). This book is available on-line at <http://www.archive.org/details/cu31924005710839>.

<sup>56</sup> See CDC, *WASH Away Neglected Tropical Diseases*, Activity Summary, June 2010, <http://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/pdf/global/programs/wash-away-ntd-summary.pdf> and CDC, *WASH Away Neglected Tropical Diseases*, Program in Brief, June 2010.

<sup>57</sup> Philip Musgrove and Peter Hotez, “Turning Neglected Tropical Diseases Into Forgotten Maladies,” *Health Affairs*, vol. 28, no. 6 (November/December 2009), p. 1698.

<sup>58</sup> Charles H King, “Toward the Elimination of Schistosomiasis,” *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 360, no. 2 (October 29, 2010), pp. 106-109.



A comprehensive approach to NTD control might look like one that China is reportedly preparing to launch in sub-Saharan Africa. China is reportedly modeling the program after its own schistosomiasis control methods, which have led to interrupted transmission cycles in several regions across the country. The Chinese are reportedly planning to support the construction of latrines, the conversion of human and animal excrement into biofuel, and the procurement of tractors (to minimize contact with snail-infested water while farming).<sup>59</sup> Other examples of successful intersectoral approaches have included

- **collaboration among the health and agricultural sectors** in rice-growing regions of Asia to control malaria and Japanese encephalitis;<sup>60</sup>
- **collaboration among the health and agricultural sectors** to reduce the prevalence of soil-transmitted helminths in Korea;<sup>61</sup> and
- **collaboration among the health and housing sectors** to eliminate Chagas in Argentina.<sup>62</sup>

### **Health Information Systems and NTD Prevention: Issues for Congress**

While arguments for improving health information systems often focus on determining when mass drug administration campaigns can be stopped and detecting disease resurgence, USAID also identified cost-efficiencies in improving these mechanisms. During the first two years of implementing the NTD Program, USAID purchased treatments for schistosomiasis according to country requested levels. USAID found, however, that countries did not always use prevalence data to estimate drug quantities or follow WHO treatment guidelines. This led to inefficiencies, including drug overstocks in some areas and shortages in others. In the third year, USAID developed a new procurement system that improved drug management and forecasting processes. After improving the drug management system, USAID found that fewer drugs needed to be procured.<sup>63</sup>

Observers maintain cost-efficiencies can also be found by better integrating NTD programs with other health programs. Proponents assert the community networks that provide NTD drugs could also distribute insecticide-treated bednets and treatments against HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria. Conversely, bednets used in malaria programs could also help to prevent people from contracting lymphatic filariasis.<sup>64</sup> The Lantos-Hyde United States Government Malaria Strategy identifies plans to integrate malaria and NTD activities but does not specify how this will occur.

Improved integration of NTD activities into projects funded through the Senator Paul Simon Water for the Poor Act (P.L. 109-121) may present another opportunity to intensify NTD control.<sup>65</sup> The act authorized the President to develop an integrated strategy for improving water

---

<sup>59</sup> Tan Ee Lyn, *China to Help Africa to Curb Chronic Snail Fever*, Reuters, October 6, 2010.

<sup>60</sup> Jennifer Keiser et al., “Effect of irrigated rice agriculture on Japanese encephalitis, including challenges and opportunities for integrated vector management,” *Acta Tropica*, vol. 95, no. 1 (July 2005), pp. 40-57.

<sup>61</sup> Sung-Tae Hong et al., “A Successful Experience of Soil-Transmitted Helminth Control in the Republic of Korea,” *Korean Journal of Parasitology*, vol. 44, no. 3 (September 2006), pp. 177-185.

<sup>62</sup> Ricardo E. Gürtler et al., “Sustainable vector control and management of Chagas disease in the Gran Chaco, Argentina,” *PNAS*, vol. 104, no. 41 (October 9, 2007), p. 16194-16199.

<sup>63</sup> USAID, *Neglected Tropical Disease Control Program*, Semi Annual Report, November 1, 2009, p. 16.

<sup>64</sup> Peter Hotez et al., “Incorporating a Rapid-Impact Package for Neglected Tropical Diseases with Programs for HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria,” *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 5 (May 2006), pp. 576-584.

<sup>65</sup> The concept of integrative NTD projects is explored extensively in Burton H. Singer and Marcia Caldas de Castro, “Bridges to sustainable tropical health,” *PNAS*, vol. 104, no. 41 (October 9, 2007), p. 16038-16043.

and sanitation worldwide. According to a 2010 report to Congress, the Administration has developed strategies for 34 countries, which include all NTD focus countries.<sup>66</sup> The United States might also consider sharing resources provided through the Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) for water and sanitation programs. Although MCC water programs tend to emphasize reducing diarrheal-related deaths, populations suffering from diarrhea are often afflicted with other water-borne diseases like schistosomiasis (though the diseases may not be detected).

Observers have cited instances in which U.S. aid agencies operating in the same country have used different implementing partners, consulted with different stakeholders when planning the projects, and partnered with different government officials, even when focusing on the same health challenge. While acknowledging duplication in some areas of U.S. health aid, Secretary of State Hillary Clinton contended GHI aims to address this challenge.<sup>67</sup> Nonetheless, with little available documentation on GHI, not much is known on the extent to which the initiative is curbing such practices. USAID also maintained these criticisms do not apply to U.S.-supported NTD programs because they support national NTD plans.<sup>68</sup> Where possible, ambassadors in recipient countries could ensure that USG agencies implementing health and development projects operate from joint working agreements and align their activities (including training, reporting, funding, and target populations), especially in non-GHI focus countries.<sup>69</sup> The 2010 Quadrennial Diplomacy and Development Review appears to endorse this idea.

## Funding Research and Development of Innovative Tools

Widespread use of the term NTD belies the complexity of the health challenge. The existence, efficacy, and availability of tools to diagnose, cure, and control each disease vary. Progress in controlling, eliminating, and eradicating NTDs also varies among the geographical locations. The seven most common NTDs that account for 90% of all NTD cases can be treated with relatively inexpensive drugs that are effective in low-resource settings. Through massive treatment programs, two of these seven diseases, onchocerciasis and lymphatic filariasis, have been nearly eliminated in some settings. People living in areas endemic with two other NTDs (schistosomiasis and STH) that account for 80% of all NTD cases, however, must be treated indefinitely if other public health measures are not taken (e.g., building and using latrines and ensuring proper use of purified water). Other NTDs, like dengue and buruli ulcer, have no known cure. Another NTD, human African trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness), is always fatal if not treated; another, leishmaniasis, is treated with drugs that are extremely toxic and difficult to administer. To address these challenges, several health advocates call for greater investments in R&D in four key areas:

- **Drug and vaccine development**—While donor funding has led to an unprecedented capacity to treat NTDs, some analysts are concerned about drug resistance and urge prudence in drug administration, particularly for diseases that cannot be eliminated through MDA. Others argue that these concerns should not hinder the provision of drugs that alleviate human suffering and save lives. Several experts also call for researchers to develop vaccines that can prevent people from contracting NTDs and to create innovative treatments for diseases with no cure, or for which available drugs are toxic or carry complicated

<sup>66</sup> See U.S. Department of State, *Senator Paul Simon Water for the Poor Act: Report to Congress*, June 2010.

<sup>67</sup> Hillary Rodham Clinton, *The Global Health Initiative: The Next Phase of American Leadership*, Secretary of State, Speech at the Johns Hopkins School of Advanced International Studies, August 16, 2010.

<sup>68</sup> Personal communication with USAID, Infectious Diseases Division, December 23, 2010.

<sup>69</sup> For more information on MCC and CDC water and sanitation programs, see <http://www.mcc.gov/pages/activities/activity/water-and-sanitation> and [http://www.cdc.gov/safewater/about\\_pages/about\\_where.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/safewater/about_pages/about_where.htm), respectively.

- regimens (both in terms of the complexity of administering the medicine and the duration of treatment).
- **Vector Control**—For most NTDs, there are no vaccines to prevent infection and MDA programs cannot independently eradicate the pathogen. In such circumstances, vector control often plays a vital role. However, several peer-reviewed articles highlight challenges related to vector control, including growing pesticide resistance caused in part by improper application or overuse.
  - **Diagnostic Tools**—Disease monitoring and surveillance are critical for detecting drug resistance and treatment-related complications, identifying interruptions in disease transmission, determining when MDA can be ceased, and identifying disease resurgence (especially after a disease has been eliminated). However, available diagnostic tools may not be useful in low-resource settings that lack sufficient quantities of laboratory equipment and trained health practitioners. As such, some epidemiologists are concerned that poorer countries may not be able to assume full control of disease surveillance tasks.
  - **Operational Research**—Some health experts encourage further consideration of factors that might affect drug efficacy or safety, such as co-application of traditional remedies and treatments for HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria.<sup>70</sup> Some evidence indicates, for example, that the vaccine against TB is less effective among people infected with schistosomiasis.<sup>71</sup> More research could also be useful to study the impact of NTD treatments on those infected with other NTDs. Researchers found, for example, that people co-infected with onchocerciasis and loiasis should not be treated with a drug (ivermectin) commonly used to cure onchocerciasis, as they could experience serious side effects or death.<sup>72</sup> Observers also call greater attention to factors related to NTDs that are more complicated to treat, such as maintaining drug efficacy without refrigeration. These issues buttress calls for bolstering research and development in developing countries, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa.

The weak medicine regulatory authorities (MRAs) in several developing countries also warrant consideration.<sup>73</sup> In order to ensure the safety and efficacy of a proposed drug, MRAs need access

<sup>70</sup> For example, research could help to discover possible complications when undergoing NTD treatments while using traditional medicines. WHO estimates that 80% of African patients also use traditional medicines. See David J. Winters, “Expanding Global Research and Development for Neglected Diseases,” *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 84, no. 5 (May 2006), p. 414.

<sup>71</sup> Peter Hotez et al., “Incorporating a Rapid-Impact Package for Neglected Tropical Diseases with Programs for HIV/AIDS, Tuberculosis, and Malaria,” *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 5 (May 2006), pp. 576-584.

<sup>72</sup> M C Thomson et al., “Satellite Mapping of Loa Loa Prevalence in Relation to Ivermectin Use in West and Central Africa,” *The Lancet*, vol. 356, no. 9235 (September 23, 2000), pp. 1077-1078. Although USAID only supports the administration of drugs for the seven most common NTDs and does not offer treatments for diseases like sleeping sickness and leishmaniasis, it reportedly supports efforts to monitor severe adverse reactions against several NTD drugs, including sleeping sickness and leishmaniasis. Personal communication with USAID, Infectious Diseases Division, December 23, 2010.

<sup>73</sup> Participants of a June 2009 DNDi regional workshop on MRA, reportedly indicated that weak regulatory capacity in developing countries complicated efforts to expand access to NTD drugs. Dr Mary Moran et al., *Registering New Drugs: The African Context*, The George Institute for International Health and DNDi, January 2010, p. 2. A study conducted by WHO in 2006 found that over 40 African MRAs were insufficiently functional and needed significant capacity building to perform fundamental regulatory tasks. South Africa was the only country in sub-Saharan Africa with a fully functional MRA; the MRAs of Nigeria, Zimbabwe, Senegal, Tunisia, Morocco and Algeria were functional but needed “strengthening in regulation of clinical trials” among other things; and the MRAs of Ghana, Egypt, Uganda

to fully functioning laboratories and well-trained technicians capable of testing samples and determining whether medicines meet quality criteria. In a 2007 WHO study, almost all high-income countries reported having a quality management system in place to monitor adverse drug reactions (ADRs), while only 50% of low-income countries reportedly evaluated medicines to detect ADRs.<sup>74</sup> Without the resources to evaluate properly the safety, efficacy, and quality of new medicines, one team of experts found that MRAs in most low-income countries, particularly in Africa, rely heavily on MRAs in high-income countries. For NTD treatments, however, regulatory authorities in high-income countries may not have sufficient safety and efficacy data on which to conduct comprehensive risk-benefit assessments when approving drugs intended for poorer countries and may not consider complications that are pervasive in poorer areas.

## Funding Research and Development: Issues for Congress

According to a study conducted by the George Institute for International Health, the United States is the world's largest funder of research and development for the treatment and control of NTDs (Table 5).<sup>75</sup> In FY2008, the USG accounted for roughly 41% of all funding for NTD-related R&D and about 48% of all spending by the top 12 donors. If contributions by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation are added, total U.S. support for R&D for NTDs accounted for 62% of all spending and 71% of outlays by the top 12 donors. Nonetheless, NTD activists call for greater investments in NTD research, particularly since HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria accounted for 72% of these funds in 2008 (Table 6). Some analysts believe that investments in these diseases outpace spending on other NTDs, because high-income countries are also susceptible to and affected by HIV/AIDS and TB.

**Table 5. Spending on NTD Research and Development, 2007 and 2008**

(millions and %)

Donor	2007			2008		
	Current U.S. \$	% of Top 12 Donors	% of Total R&D Funding	Current U.S. \$	% of Top 12 Donors	% of Total R&D Funding
U.S. National Institutes of Health	1,064.9	46.6%	41.6%	1,119.6	41.6%	36.2%
Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation	452.1	19.8%	17.7%	640.4	23.8%	20.7%
Drug and Biotechnology Companies	231.9	10.2%	9.1%	390.2	14.5%	12.6%
European Commission	121.4	5.3%	4.7%	145.7	5.4%	4.7%
U.S. Agency for Int. Development	80.6	3.5%	3.1%	87	3.2%	2.8%
U.S. Department of Defense	86.9	3.8%	3.4%	75.3	2.8%	2.4%

and Ethiopia had “potential” but were not yet fully functional. In 2005, 87% of African MRAs reported that they could not evaluate biologics such as vaccines, while some African MRAs did not yet have a vaccine registration system. Ibid., p. 6.

<sup>74</sup> WHO, *Country Pharmaceutical Situations*, Fact Book on WHO Level 1 indicators 2007, April 2009, p. 36, [http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/EMP\\_MPC\\_2010\\_1.pdf](http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/EMP_MPC_2010_1.pdf).

<sup>75</sup> As discussed earlier (see “Defining “NTDs”), there are varying accounts of which diseases are considered NTDs. While conducting a study on global funding for NTD-related R&D, for example, a research organization called the George Institute for International Health counted HIV/AIDS, TB, and malaria among NTDs. While some groups consider these three diseases to be NTDs, CRS follows the WHO classification system and does not include HIV/AIDS, TB, or malaria among NTDs. The report remains useful, however, because it offers an analysis of R&D funding for several diseases including NTDs, which is not widely available.

Donor	2007			2008		
	Current U.S. \$	% of Top 12 Donors	% of Total R&D Funding	Current U.S. \$	% of Top 12 Donors	% of Total R&D Funding
The Wellcome Trust	60	2.6%	2.3%	60.4	2.2%	2.0%
U.K. Medical Research Council	51.7	2.3%	2.0%	52.4	1.9%	1.7%
U.K. Department for Int. Development	47.6	2.1%	1.9%	43	1.6%	1.4%
Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs	33.9	1.5%	1.3%	30.2	1.1%	1.0%
Institut Pasteur	31.6	1.4%	1.2%	29.8	1.1%	1.0%
Sweden Int. Development Agency	21.5	0.9%	0.8%	20.5	0.8%	0.7%
Subtotal of Top 12 Donors	2,284.1	100.0%	89.2%	2,694.5	100.0%	87.1%
<b>Total R&amp;D Funding</b>	<b>2,560.1</b>			<b>3,094.2</b>		

**Source:** The George Institute for International Health, *Neglected Disease Research & Development: New Times, New Trends*, December 2009, p. 67.

**Table 6. Spending on R&D for Health Products to Be Applied in Developing Countries, by Disease, 2007 and 2008**  
(millions and %)

Disease	2007		2008	
	Current U.S. \$	Share of Funding	Current U.S. \$	Share of Funding
HIV/AIDS	1,083.0	42.3%	1,215.8	39.3%
Malaria	468.4	18.3%	566.0	18.3%
Tuberculosis	410.4	16.0%	467.5	15.1%
<b>Kinetoplastids</b>	<b>125.1</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>145.7</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
Diarrhea	113.9	4.4%	138.1	4.5%
<b>Dengue</b>	<b>82.0</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>132.5</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
Pneumonia & Meningitis	32.5	1.3%	96.1	3.1%
<b>Helminth Infections</b>	<b>51.6</b>	<b>2.0%</b>	<b>69.5</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
Salmonella	9.1	0.4%	41.1	1.3%
<b>Leprosy</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>10.1</b>	<b>0.3%</b>
Rheumatic Fever	1.7	0.1%	2.3	0.1%
<b>Trachoma</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Buruli Ulcer</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
Platform Technologies	10.0	0.4%	16.6	0.6%
Core funding of a Multi-Disease R&D Organization	110.9	4.3%	110.4	3.4%
Unspecified Disease	51.6	2.0%	78.2	2.5%

Disease	2007		2008	
	Current U.S. \$	Share of Funding	Current U.S. \$	Share of Funding
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>2,560.0</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>3,094.2</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Source:** The George Institute for International Health, *Neglected Disease Research & Development: New Times, New Trends*, December 2009, p. 15.

**Note:** Kinetoplastid parasites cause disease in humans, animals and plants, severely affecting human health and retarding agriculture development in less developed countries. Sleeping sickness, Chagas disease, and leishmaniasis are the major human diseases caused by kinetoplastids. NTDs are bolded.

The U.S. government has demonstrated support for higher spending on R&D for global health overall and for NTDs in particular. In a March 2010 letter to USAID Administrator Shah, several members of Congress called on USAID to determine an appropriate funding strategy that considers R&D funding for NTDs.<sup>76</sup> The Agriculture, Rural Development, Food and Drug Administration, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, Fiscal Year 2010 directed the FDA to establish internal review groups to address rare and neglected diseases, to report to Congress one year after establishing the review groups and to issue guidance relating to rare and neglected diseases.<sup>77</sup> In March 2010, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) established two new expert working groups, the Rare Disease Review Group and the Neglected Disease Review Group.<sup>78</sup> In September 2010, the neglected diseases group hosted a public hearing to share ideas with stakeholders on how FDA should tackle neglected diseases. The Neglected Disease Review Group is expected to submit a report to Congress that includes recommendations on how FDA should address NTDs. Ahead of the findings, the FDA has already indicated that it needs additional resources to provide training and hire additional staff with expertise in NTDs.<sup>79</sup>

Congress has also authorized market incentives to encourage R&D on NTDs. The Orphan Drug Act of 1983 (P.L. 97-414) and the Rare Diseases Orphan Product Development Act (ODA) of 2002 (P.L. 107-281), for example, offer tax credits, market exclusivity, and priority review vouchers. Despite these actions, relatively few innovative NTD products have been developed. One study indicated that between 1983 and 2008, the FDA approved 325 orphan drug products, two of which were for NTDs. One expert at the Center for Global Development contended that all of the NTD products that were developed “had limited or no value because their formulation and pricing were inappropriate for developing country settings.”<sup>80</sup> Some health analysts have contended that major pharmaceutical companies have produced a limited number of NTD products because the low profit margins (if any) of NTD products leave little incentive to invest in R&D.<sup>81</sup>

<sup>76</sup> Members of the Malaria and NTD Caucus, *Letter to USAID Administrator Rajiv Shah*, March 23, 2010, [http://www.dndi.org/images/stories/pdf\\_events/NTD%20Letter%20from%20Congress\\_March2010.pdf](http://www.dndi.org/images/stories/pdf_events/NTD%20Letter%20from%20Congress_March2010.pdf).

<sup>77</sup> Sec. 740, P.L. 111-80.

<sup>78</sup> In September 2010, the Neglected Disease Group held hosted a public hearing to discuss how the FDA could improve its global health work. The group is expected to report its recommendations to Congress in March 2011. See FDA, “Advancing the Development of Medical Products Used in the Prevention, Diagnosis, and Treatment of Neglected Tropical Diseases; Public Hearing,” 75 *Federal Register* 42103, July 20, 2010.

<sup>79</sup> See FDA, *The FDA’s Role in Global Health*, Fact Sheet, September 2010, p. 2.

<sup>80</sup> Tom Bollyky, *Right Hearing, Wrong Message on Neglected Pediatric Diseases*, Center for Global Development, Global Health Policy Blog, July 29, 2010, [http://blogs.cgdev.org/globalhealth/2010/07/right-hearing-wrong-message-on-neglected-pediatric-diseases.php?utm\\_source=nl\\_weekly&utm\\_medium=email&utm\\_campaign=nl\\_weekly\\_08182010](http://blogs.cgdev.org/globalhealth/2010/07/right-hearing-wrong-message-on-neglected-pediatric-diseases.php?utm_source=nl_weekly&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=nl_weekly_08182010).

<sup>81</sup> See Stefano Villa, Amelia Compagni, and Michael R. Reich, “Orphan Drug Legislation: Lessons for Neglected



In response to relatively low spending levels on NTD R&D by larger pharmaceutical companies, some advocates call for enhancing R&D capacity in developing countries and supporting public-private partnerships that include specialists from poorer countries. Innovative partnerships with experts hailing from endemic areas have reportedly developed tools that were cheaper and better adapted for field use.<sup>82</sup> Some proponents call for boosting U.S. funding for consortiums such as the Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) and the Drugs for Neglected Diseases *initiative* (DNDi), which have played a critical role in identifying innovative NTD tools.<sup>83</sup> The \$4.8 million the United States donated to TDR during the 2008-2009 budget period amounted to about 6% of all contributions.<sup>84</sup> The \$1.4 million the United States contributed to DNDi accounted for 0.9% of all donations.<sup>85</sup>

In addition to calls for greater U.S. support for NTD-related R&D, supporters urge the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress to consider enacting legislation similar to a bill introduced in the 111<sup>th</sup> Congress called the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Global Health Technology Act (111<sup>th</sup> Congress, H.R. 3560/S. 1591), which would have authorized \$5 million in each fiscal year from 2010 through 2014 to improve laboratory capabilities in developing countries. Other recommendations for encouraging companies to develop drugs for the poor and strengthening medicine regulatory capacity in low-income countries include

- aligning U.S. regulatory guidelines with those of the WHO;
- encouraging pharmaceutical companies to use and develop patent pools;
- including regulators from endemic areas when conducting regulatory reviews of novel NTD products; and
- applying U.S. regulatory expertise to the review of novel NTD products produced outside the United States.

## Final Discussion

As noted, neglected tropical diseases are diseases that primarily plague the poorest people in the poorest parts of the world. Changes in the environment and population flows, however, make industrialized countries, including the United States, vulnerable to some NTDs as well. In May 2009, CDC reported that 28 people who lived in or had visited Key West, FL, had contracted dengue.<sup>86</sup> With the exception of a few dengue cases that occurred along the Texas-Mexico border in the 1990s, the Florida Keys cases were the first dengue cases reported in the continental United States since 1946.<sup>87</sup> Some observers are concerned that mosquitoes capable of spreading dengue fever are gradually spreading across the United States, particularly since there is no vaccine or

---

Tropical Diseases,” *International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, vol. 24, no. 1 (April 24, 2008), pp. 27-42.

<sup>82</sup> See Sarah E. Frew, Victor Y. Liu, and Peter A. Singer, “A Business Plan To Help The ‘Global South’ In Its Fight Against Neglected Diseases,” *Health Affairs*, vol. 28, no. 6 (November/December 2009), pp. 1760-1773; and Mary Moran, “A Breakthrough in R&D for Neglected Tropical Diseases: New Ways to Get the Drugs We Need,” *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 2, no. 9 (September 2005), pp. 828-832.

<sup>83</sup> See the TDR website at <http://apps.who.int/tdr/> and the DNDi website at <http://www.dndi.org/>.

<sup>84</sup> WHO and TDR, *Innovation for Health: Research That Makes a Difference*, 2009 Annual Report, p. 70.

<sup>85</sup> DNDi, *Delivering Innovation and Building a Robust Pipeline*, 2008-2009 Annual Report, p. 57.

<sup>86</sup> Philip Hosbach and Stuart Feldman, “Dengue Fever—An Escalating Threat,” *Global Health*, Fall 2010.

<sup>87</sup> CDC, “Locally Acquired Dengue: Key West, Florida 2009-2010,” *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 59, no. 19 (May 21, 2010), pp. 577-581.

treatment against this disease. Without the care of trained physicians, about 20% of those infected with dengue haemorrhagic fever (DHF) die. Access to health practitioners who are trained to identify DHF and treat its effects can reduce that rate to about 1%. Mosquitoes capable of spreading dengue are now found across the southeastern United States, along the eastern seaboard up to southern New England, and around areas bordering the Mississippi River and its watershed up to Chicago. Scientists expect the mosquitoes to broaden their range.<sup>88</sup>

In recent years, cases of Chagas have also emerged within the continental United States (thought by some to have been brought by migrants from Latin America). While blood centers are now required to test for Chagas,<sup>89</sup> some health experts surmise that several cases remain undiagnosed in the United States and that Chagas stands as an undetected cause of heart disease and stroke.<sup>90</sup> In addition, travelers from industrialized countries are increasingly contracting NTDs like schistosomiasis while engaged in eco-tourism and other travel “off the beaten track.” These cases are usually identified once tourists develop severe, acute infection or other unusual problems.<sup>91</sup>

Concerns about domestic vulnerability to NTDs bolster the view that improving global health and countering NTDs is mutually beneficial for recipient and donor countries. While poor countries could benefit from U.S. research and development of innovative tools to treat and detect NTDs, for example, the United States could be better protected by an improved capacity to detect and respond to emergent cases of tropical diseases within its borders. The 112<sup>th</sup> Congress might consider introducing a bill similar to one that the House passed in the 111<sup>th</sup> Congress that calls for the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to report to Congress on “the epidemiology of, impact of, and appropriate funding required to address neglected diseases of poverty.” Included among the diseases specified in the bill are Chagas and soil-transmitted helminths.<sup>92</sup>

The United States offers more resources to tackle NTDs than any other country. The U.S. approach to addressing this problem, however, faces several complicating factors. These include the following:

**Conflict**—When conflict erupts, treatment programs are frequently interrupted, which provides the disease an opportunity to resurge. Additionally, NTDs can easily spread in the crowded and sometimes unsanitary conditions of refugee camps.<sup>93</sup>

**Global urbanization**—Trends indicate that by 2050, 75% of the world’s populations will live in urban areas. Much of this growth is expected to occur in poor countries already struggling to meet the basic needs of their populations. Unfettered population shifts from rural to urban areas coupled with poor urban planning could lead to increased NTD outbreaks in urban areas. People carrying soil-transmitted helminths, for example, could move to urban areas and spread the

---

<sup>88</sup> Crystal Franco et al., *Biosecurity and Bioterrorism: Biodefense Strategy, Practice, and Science*, vol. 8, no. 3 (2010).

<sup>89</sup> For a complete list of “Nationally Notifiable Diseases,” see <http://www.cdc.gov/ncphi/diss/nndss/phs/infdis2010.htm>.

<sup>90</sup> F J Carod-Artal et al., “American Trypanosomiasis (Chagas’ Disease): An Unrecognised Cause of Stroke,” *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, vol. 74 (2003), pp. 516-518.

<sup>91</sup> WHO, *Schistosomiasis*, Fact Sheet, February 2010.

<sup>92</sup> H.R. 5986, Neglected Infections of Impoverished Americans Act of 2010.

<sup>93</sup> Chris Beyrer, “Neglected Diseases, Civil Conflicts, and the Right to Health,” Presentation at the Institute of Medicine Conference on the Causes and Impacts of Neglected Tropical and Zoonotic Diseases, Washington, DC, September 22, 2010.



disease, particularly in slums where access to clean water, sanitation, and health services is limited.<sup>94</sup>

**Other donor support**—Efforts by philanthropy groups and pharmaceutical companies aside, few donors have pledged to provide sufficient resources to fund NTD-related resolutions (though they may be engaged in bilateral efforts).<sup>95</sup> At the 2008 G8 summit, members committed to support global NTD efforts and to reach at least 75% of people infected with NTDs.<sup>96</sup> Following the summit, Britain pledged to spend £50 million (about \$92 million in 2008) over five years to combat NTDs, and the United States announced its plan to spend \$350 million on NTDs over five years (see “U.S. Government Responses to NTDs”).<sup>97</sup> Additionally, in May 2010, the Netherlands pledged to provide up to €70 million (about \$87 million) over four years for the development of medicines and vaccines against several diseases, including NTDs.<sup>98</sup>

Some groups call for countries like Brazil, China, and India to contribute more to the global fight against NTDs, especially since they have received financial assistance to address NTDs and their economies have demonstrated strong growth. One study indicates that the global disease burden for soil-transmitted helminths, lymphatic filariasis, and trachoma could be reduced by 20% if Brazil, China, India, and Russia assumed full control over their own NTD control and elimination efforts.<sup>99</sup> This assertion is particularly provocative, as one report discusses the emergent role of Brazil, China, India, Russia, and South Africa in addressing global health challenges worldwide.<sup>100</sup> Similarly, one expert calls on the Organization of Islamic Conference to contribute to the Global NTD Plan, particularly since member countries including Bangladesh, Chad, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Indonesia, Somalia, Sudan, and Yemen all have NTDs.<sup>101</sup>

**Veterinary Capacity**—WHO and other experts express concern about limited expertise in addressing NTDs in some countries and declining knowledge in others, particularly in the areas of vector control, case-management, pesticide management and veterinary health. Since some NTDs are zoonotic infections—human infections originating in animals—monitoring and controlling the diseases in animals are critical and often overlooked parts of NTD control. WHO recommends a five-pronged approach to tackling NTDs, which includes strengthening veterinary capacity.<sup>102</sup>

At a January 2011 speech, USAID Administrator Shah indicated the agency would increasingly make tough decisions while considering which health and development efforts to fund. Specifically, the unit cost of impact would be the key determinant. Measuring the cost effectiveness of health programs could be a complicated endeavor. For example, what factors

<sup>94</sup> Allison Garland and Lauren Herzer, “Water for the Urban Poor: Integrated Solutions,” *SAIS Review*, vol. 29, no. 1 (Winter-Spring 2009), pp. 101-107.

<sup>95</sup> Japan, for example, addresses soil-transmitted helminths through the Hashimoto Initiative that operates in Thailand, Ghana, and Kenya.

<sup>96</sup> “Chair’s Summary,” G8 Summit, Hokkaido Toyako, Japan, July 9, 2008.

<sup>97</sup> Britain’s Department of International Development, “£50 Million to Wipe Out Deadly Tropical Diseases,” press release, September 22, 2008.

<sup>98</sup> Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs, *PDP Fund Against Poverty-Related Diseases*, Grants Order, May 21, 2010.

<sup>99</sup> Peter Hotez, “Neglected Tropical Disease Control in the “Post-American World,”” *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, vol. 4, no. 8 (August 2010), e812.

<sup>100</sup> Katherine Bliss et al., *Key Players in Global Health: How Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa Are Influencing the Game*, Center for Strategic International Studies, Washington, DC, November 2010.

<sup>101</sup> Peter Hotez, “Neglected Tropical Disease Control in the “Post-American World,”” *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, vol. 4, no. 8 (August 2010), e812.

<sup>102</sup> The other four strategies are: MDA, intensified case-management, vector control, and the provision of safe water, sanitation and hygiene. See WHO, *First WHO Report on Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2010, p. vii.

should be weighed more heavily: mortality rates, disease prevalence, or contagiousness? How might the United States address diseases that countries might not prioritize, but could be easily spread? Should countries receive assistance based on their ability to fund a national response or based on their portion of the global disease burden?

For NTDs in particular, several other questions might arise. How might countries graduate from U.S. health assistance if NTDs that cause more than 80% of the NTD disease burden cannot be eliminated by treatment alone and affected countries have several other health challenges to consider? If the United States reduces support for a more expensive health issue, like HIV/AIDS, would countries maintain less expensive programs that carry a lower mortality rate like NTDs? While there appears to be consensus on the need to reevaluate U.S. foreign assistance programs, experts do not yet agree on the best approach to this challenging exercise.

## Appendix A. Acronyms

ADR	Adverse drug reaction
ALB	Albendazole
AZI	Azithromycin
CDC	U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
DEC	Diethylcarbamazine
DHF	Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever
DNDi	Drugs for Neglected Diseases <i>initiative</i>
DoD	Department of Defense
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
GHI	Global Health Initiative
IVM	Ivermectin
LF	Lymphatic Filariasis
MCC	Millennium Challenge Corporation
MDA	Mass Drug Administration
MRA	Medicine regulatory authority
NIAID	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
NIH	National Institutes of Health
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NTD	Neglected Tropical Disease
ODA	Rare Diseases Orphan Product Development Act
OGAC	Office of the Global AIDS Coordinator
Oncho	Onchocerciasis
PAHO	Pan American Health Organization
PEPFAR	President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief
PZQ	Praziquantel
R&D	Research and Development
Schisto	Schistosomiasis
STH	Soil-Transmitted Helminths
TB	Tuberculosis
TZQ	Tetracycline
USAID	U.S. Agency for International Development
USG	U.S. Government
WASH	Water, sanitation, and hygiene
WHO	World Health Organization

## Appendix B. Glossary

**Anemia**—abnormally low hemoglobin concentration in the blood. Hookworm, for example, can cause iron deficiency anemia or loss of iron in the blood.

**Chemotherapy**—the use of chemicals or antibiotics to treat an infection, a malignancy, or other condition with the aim of curing it or preventing its further progress.

**Coverage**—the proportion of people in a target population or group who have taken a drug or drug combination.

**Disability-adjusted life years**—a measure of overall disease burden, expressed as the number of years lost due to ill-health, disability, or early death.

**Disease burden**—the amount of ill health from a given cause (disease, injury, cause of disease, or risk factor) in a population of interest.

**Disease mapping**—a method for displaying spatial distribution of cases of disease.

**Elimination**—the control of a previously prevalent communicable disease by reducing case transmission to a predetermined low level, although not necessarily to zero. The aim is to achieve a situation where the infecting agent cannot sustain itself in the population until the disease no longer presents a major public health problem.

**Endemic**—the constant presence in a population of a condition such as a communicable disease.

**Eradication**—ending all transmission of an infectious disease agent by bringing about the extermination of the disease agent. Diseases such as guinea worm, measles, and polio have been eradicated regionally. Smallpox, however, is the only disease to have been eradicated globally.

**Incidence**—the occurrence of new disease events or cases.

**Incidence rate**—the rate at which new events occur in a population.

**Infectious disease**—an illness due to a specific agent or its toxic products that arises through transmission of that agent or its products from an infected person, animal, or reservoir to a susceptible host, either directly or indirectly through an intermediate plant or animal host, vector, or the inanimate environment.

**Integrated Vector Management**—a decision-making process for the management of vector populations, so as to reduce or interrupt transmission of vector-borne diseases. An integrated vector management approach takes into account the available health infrastructure and resources and integrates all available and effective measures across all sectors.

**Mass drug administration**—delivery of a preventive or therapeutic regimen to the entire population or to selected portions of it.

**Morbidity**—sickness, the state or condition of being unwell. **Morbidity rate** measures the proportion of people who have become sickened by a particular disease over a given time period (usually measured annually). Also, **incidence rate**.

**Mortality**—death. **Mortality rate**—measures the proportion of people who have died from a given cause within a certain time (usually measured annually).

**Pathogen**—an organism that causes disease.

**Prevalence**—the total number of designated conditions, such as cases of a disease or patterns of behavior, that are present in a population at a point in time, regardless of the duration of time for which the condition or disease has been experienced by individuals in the population.

**Reservoir**—a receptacle for storage. *Reservoir of infection*, for example, refers to human or animal hosts of infectious pathogens.

**Second-line treatment**—offered to patients when initial therapy (first-line treatment) fails.

**Vector**—a living creature, usually an insect, that carries an infectious pathogen to a susceptible human host.

## Appendix C. NTDs: Prevalence, Characteristics, and Regional Distribution

Disease	Prevalence (million)	Population at Risk (millions)	Regions of Highest Prevalence	Reservoir	Mode of Transmission	Symptoms	Treatment Availability and Cost
Buruli Ulcer	0.05	Unknown	Sub-Saharan Africa	Unknown, fauna, flora, and other ecological aspects of the wetlands suspected	Experts are uncertain, but evidence suggests the germ enters the body through the skin at a site of trauma. Insects may also be natural reservoirs and their bites could transmit the disease to humans. Environmental changes that promote flooding, such as deforestation, dam construction, and irrigation systems, are often associated with Buruli ulcer outbreaks. Population increases in rural wetlands also raise exposure to the disease.	Big ulcers and severe scarring, resulting in disfigurement and sometimes loss of limbs.	Treatment is more expensive as the disease progresses. If treated early, medication costs roughly \$38. Best estimates indicate that the average cost of treatment and surgery reach \$780 per capita in the advanced stages.
Chagas disease	16-18	100	United States, Latin America and Caribbean	Humans and large blood sucking insects known as “kissing bugs”	Chagas disease is transmitted by the infected feces of blood-sucking bugs called triatomine. After biting humans, the bug usually defecates near the site. The parasites enter the body when the person smears (usually while scratching) the bug feces into the bite, eyes, mouth, or any skin break. Chagas disease is also transmitted by ingestion of food contaminated with the feces of the bug, blood transfusion or organ transplantation, or mothers during delivery or pregnancy.	Cardiac complications, including heart disease or failure; intestinal complications; enlarged esophagus or colon; or swelling internal organs.	Treatment options are limited if not addressed in the early stages. Best estimates indicate that the average annual cost of treating chronic Chagas is \$1,028.
Dengue	50	2,500	United States, tropical, and sub-tropical areas	Mosquito	Bite of infective mosquito.	Fever, headache, rash, and intense pain. Untreated, dengue fever (an advanced form of dengue) is fatal 20% of the time. The mortality rate can be reduced to about 1% with care from trained health workers.	None, trained physicians can mitigate related symptoms.
Dracunculiasis (guinea worm)	0.01	Unknown	Sub-Saharan Africa	Humans	Ingestion of larvae found in stagnant fresh water.	Intense pain, blisters, ulcers, fever, and vomiting.	None, surgery to remove the worms.

<b>Disease</b>	<b>Prevalence (million)</b>	<b>Population at Risk (millions)</b>	<b>Regions of Highest Prevalence</b>	<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Mode of Transmission</b>	<b>Symptoms</b>	<b>Treatment Availability and Cost</b>
Fascioliasis	17	Unknown	Worldwide	Humans, cattle, and snails	Ingesting water or uncooked aquatic plants (such as watercress) contaminated with snail larvae.	Hemorrhage, fibrosis of the liver, growth deficiencies; abdominal pain, respiratory problems; and skin rashes.	Tablets are taken once or twice annually and are donated free of charge by drug manufacturers. Should demand exceed the donated amounts, drugs can be purchased for between \$0.32 and \$1.02 per person.
Human African trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness)	0.3	60	Sub-Saharan Africa	Humans, though the role of domestic and wild animals is not clear	The bite of infective tsetse flies that contract the parasite from infected humans and animals. Once infected, a tsetse fly remains infective for life (between 3 months and 10 months). Transmission from blood transfusion and contaminated medical products is possible.	Fever; swollen lymph glands; aching muscles and joints; headaches; damage to the central nervous system, causing changes in personality, confusion, slurred speech, seizures, and impaired walking and talking.	According to WHO, pharmaceutical donations reportedly meet all needs as expressed by affected countries. Sleeping sickness is always fatal if not treated.
Leishmaniasis (kala azar)	14	350	United States, former Soviet states, south/southwestern Asia; Mexico, South and Central America; sub-Saharan Africa and Australia	Humans and locally variable wildlife including, rodents, sloths, marsupials, and dogs	The bite of infective sandflies that contract the parasite from infected mammals and through blood transfusion, in rare cases.	Skin ulcers and lesions, destruction of the mucous membranes, fever, swelling of the spleen and liver, and anemia.	According to WHO, treatments cost between \$110 and \$600.

<b>Disease</b>	<b>Prevalence (million)</b>	<b>Population at Risk (millions)</b>	<b>Regions of Highest Prevalence</b>	<b>Reservoir</b>	<b>Mode of Transmission</b>	<b>Symptoms</b>	<b>Treatment Availability and Cost</b>
Leprosy	0.2	Unknown	India; Latin America and Caribbean; and sub-Saharan Africa	Humans and armadillos in Louisiana and Texas	Unknown. Leprosy transmission is favored by close contact. Some scientists believe leprosy is transmitted from the nasal mucosa of an infected individual to the skin and respiratory tract of another person.	Nerve damage, muscle weakness, atrophy, and permanent disability.	According to WHO, pharmaceutical donations reportedly meet all needs as expressed by affected countries.
Lymphatic filariasis (elephantiasis)	120	1,300	East and South Asia; Pacific Islands; and sub-Saharan Africa	Humans. In Asia, cats, civets, and non-human primates.	Bite of a mosquito harboring infective larvae.	In the late stages, painful, disfiguring swelling of the legs and genital organs.	Tablets are taken once or twice annually and are donated free of charge by drug manufacturers. Should demand exceed the donated amounts, drugs can be purchased for between \$0.05 and \$0.10 per person.
Onchocerciasis (river blindness)	37	90	Latin America and Caribbean; and sub-Saharan Africa	Humans and in gorillas (rarely)	Bite of an infected female blackfly that contracts the disease from humans.	Blindness and skin disease.	Tablets are taken annually and are donated by drug companies free of charge. Should demand exceed the donated amounts, drugs can be purchased for roughly \$0.58.
Rabies	Unknown, though each year, more than 15 million people receive post-exposure drugs to prevent the onset of rabies.	Unknown	Worldwide	Domestic and wild animals	Bite of an infected animal.	In the early stages, fever and burning or itching at the wound site. As later stages, symptoms may include paralysis or hyperactivity. Rabies is always fatal if untreated.	Disinfection of the wound site and immunization. Post-exposure treatment costs between \$40 and \$50.



Disease	Prevalence (million)	Population at Risk (millions)	Regions of Highest Prevalence	Reservoir	Mode of Transmission	Symptoms	Treatment Availability and Cost
Schistosomiasis (bilharzia)	207	779	Sub-tropical areas of Asia; Latin America and Caribbean; and sub- Saharan Africa	Humans (primarily) and rodents	The egg of a parasitic flatworm is released in water through human urine or feces. Once the egg hatches, the larvae swim to find a mollusk host. There, the larvae develops into a cercariae, which enter the human body either through the skin or ingestion. In humans, cercariae mature and lay eggs that are discharged through urine or feces.	Urinary schistosomiasis damages the bladder, uterus and kidneys. Intestinal schistosomiasis causes enlargement of the liver and spleen, damages the intestines, and causes hypertension of the abdominal blood vessels.	Tablets are taken once or twice annually and are donated free of charge by drug manufacturers. Should demand exceed the donated amounts, drugs can be purchased for between \$0.32 and \$1.02 per person.
Soil-transmitted Helminths							
• Ascariasis (roundworm)	807	4,200	Tropical Areas	Humans	When humans carrying roundworm defecate on the ground, infective roundworm eggs in feces are deposited on the ground. Humans become infected when they eat produce grown in the contaminated soil or children eat the soil during or after play (poor handwashing). Ascariasis is not transmissible from person to person or from fresh feces. The worm eggs are infective when they are 2-3 weeks old, and may remain infective for several months or years in favorable soil. Mature female worms living in human intestines can produce more than 200,000 eggs daily.	Malnutrition, stunting, and cognitive delays.	Tablets are taken once or twice annually and are donated free of charge by drug manufacturers. Should demand exceed the donated amounts, drugs can be purchased for roughly \$0.02 per tablet.
• Hookworm	576	3,200	Tropical and sub-tropical areas	Humans	When humans carrying hookworms defecate on the ground, eggs in feces are deposited on the ground. Within 7-10 days of hatching, the larvae become infective and can penetrate the skin (usually through the feet). Scientists have also documented transmission of hookworm larvae through breastmilk.	Anemia, malnutrition, poor pregnancy outcomes, growth and cognitive delays.	
• Trichuriasis (whipworm)	604	3,200	Worldwide	Humans	Ingestion of larvae found in contaminated soil and on contaminated vegetables.	Inflammatory bowel disease, stunting, and cognitive delays.	

Disease	Prevalence (million)	Population at Risk (millions)	Regions of Highest Prevalence	Reservoir	Mode of Transmission	Symptoms	Treatment Availability and Cost
Trachoma	84	590	Worldwide, primarily the Middle East; North and sub-Saharan Africa	Humans	Direct contact with infectious ocular or nasal discharges found on fingers and contaminated sources like towels, clothes. Flies also contribute to trachoma transmission.	Swelling and scarring of the eyelid. Trachoma can lead to blindness if left untreated.	According to WHO, pharmaceutical donations reportedly meet all needs as expressed by affected countries.
Treponematoses (yaws, bejel, and pinta)	Unknown	Unknown	Africa; Asia; Latin America	Humans and possibly higher primates	Direct contact with early skin lesions of infected people. Indirect transmission through contamination from scratching, skin-piercing articles and flies on open wounds is probable, but of unknown importance.	Lesions, ulcers, and deterioration of facial tissues (nose and mouth) and bones.	Penicillin

**Sources:** Peter Hotez, Alan Fenwick, and Sonia Sachs, “Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases,” *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 357, no. 10 (September 6, 2007); pp. 1018-1027; *Control of Communicable Diseases Manual*, ed. David Heymann, 19<sup>th</sup> ed. (Washington, DC: APHA Press, 2008); *A Dictionary of Public Health*, ed. John Last (New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2007); *A Dictionary of Epidemiology*, ed. Miquel Porta, 5<sup>th</sup> ed. (New York, NY: Oxford University Press, 2008), WHO, *First WHO Report on Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 2010; and E-mail from Project Manager, WHO Department of Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases, January 6, 2010.

**Note:** Three other NTDs are not included here because data on prevalence, morbidity, and mortality are limited. These are cysticercosis, echinococcosis, and foodborne trematode infections.

## Appendix D. Images of Neglected Tropical Diseases

**Figure D-1. Buruli Ulcer**



**Source:** <http://www.cdc.gov/EID/content/13/5/782-G.htm>.

**Notes:** A typical Buruli ulcer in a 17-year-old boy identified during the assessment.

**Figure D-2. Chagas**



**Source:** <http://iom.edu/~media/Files/Activity%20Files/PublicHealth/MicrobialThreats/2010-SEP-21/Chang.pdf>.

**Figure D-3. Dengue/dengue hemorrhagic fever**



**Source:** <http://www.topnews.in/health/files/Dengue.jpg>.

**Figure D-4. Dracunculiasis (guinea-worm diseases)**



**Source:** [http://graphics8.nytimes.com/images/2006/03/25/international/26worm\\_leg.jpg](http://graphics8.nytimes.com/images/2006/03/25/international/26worm_leg.jpg).

**Figure D-5. Fascioliasis**



**Source:** [http://www.who.int/neglected\\_diseases/integrated\\_media/Fascioliasis\\_worm\\_web.jpg](http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/integrated_media/Fascioliasis_worm_web.jpg).

**Figure D-6. Leishmaniasis**



**Source:** <http://www.who.int/leishmaniasis/en/>.

**Figure D-7. Lymphatic filariasis (elephantiasis)**



**Source:** [http://www.nytimes.com/imagepages/2006/04/09/world/09lymph\\_CA1ready.html](http://www.nytimes.com/imagepages/2006/04/09/world/09lymph_CA1ready.html).

**Figure D-8. Onchocerciasis (river blindness)**



**Source:** [http://www.neglecteddiseases.gov/newsroom/photo\\_galleries/uganda/photo\\_03.html](http://www.neglecteddiseases.gov/newsroom/photo_galleries/uganda/photo_03.html).

**Figure D-9. Schistosomiasis (bilharzia)**



**Source:** [http://www.abdn.ac.uk/ibes/research/int\\_phys/vector/](http://www.abdn.ac.uk/ibes/research/int_phys/vector/).



## Soil-transmitted helminths

**Figure D-10. STH: Hookworm**



**Source:** <http://scienceblogs.com/zooillogix/Hookworm%20Foot.jpg>.

**Figure D-11. STH: Ascariasis (Roundworm)**



**Source:** [http://neglecteddiseases.gov/target\\_diseases/soil\\_transmitted\\_helminthiasis/roundworm/diagnosis.html](http://neglecteddiseases.gov/target_diseases/soil_transmitted_helminthiasis/roundworm/diagnosis.html).

**Figure D-12. STH: Trichuriasis (Whipworm)**




**Source:** [http://www.stanford.edu/class/humbio103/ParaSites2002/trichuriasis/trichuris\\_in\\_situ.gif](http://www.stanford.edu/class/humbio103/ParaSites2002/trichuriasis/trichuris_in_situ.gif).

**Notes:** Whipworm infection in the gut.



**Figure D-I3. Trachoma**



**Source:** <http://www.who.int/blindness/causes/TRA%20grading%20TF+TI.jpg>.

**Figure D-I4. Yaws**




**Source:** [http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs033/yaws\\_large.jpg](http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs033/yaws_large.jpg).

**Notes:** Man with Yaws in his left hand.


## Appendix E. Geographic Representation of NTD Co-Infection with HIV/AIDS, TB, and Malaria

**Figure E-1. Global Distribution of Schistosomiasis, 2009**




Source: WHO, Map Gallery at [http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/Global\\_schistosomiasis\\_2009.png](http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/Global_schistosomiasis_2009.png).

**Figure E-2. Global Prevalence of HIV/AIDS, 2009**




Source: UNAIDS, *Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic, 2010*, at [http://www.unaids.org/globalreport/documents/20101123\\_globalreport\\_slides\\_chapter2\\_em.pdf](http://www.unaids.org/globalreport/documents/20101123_globalreport_slides_chapter2_em.pdf).

**Figure E-3. Global Distribution of Soil-Transmitted Helminths, 2009**




Source: WHO, Map Gallery at [http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/Global\\_STH\\_2009.png](http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/Global_STH_2009.png).

**Figure E-4. Estimated Global Incidence of Malaria, 2006**




Source: WHO, Map Gallery at [http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/Malaria\\_Incidence\\_2006.png](http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/Malaria_Incidence_2006.png).

**Figure E-5. Global Distribution of Soil-Transmitted Helminths, 2009**



Source: WHO, Map Gallery at [http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/Global\\_STH\\_2009.png](http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/Global_STH_2009.png).

**Figure E-6. Estimated Global TB Incidence Rates, 2009**



Source: WHO, Map Gallery at [http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/TBincidence\\_2009.png](http://gamapserver.who.int/mapLibrary/Files/Maps/TBincidence_2009.png).

## Appendix F. Key Initiatives to Fight NTDs

Multi-Disease Initiatives		
Organizations	Goal	Diseases Covered
World Health Organization <sup>103</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Eliminate or eradicate NTDs targeted in resolutions of the World Health Assembly and regional committees;</li> <li>Reduce the burden of other tool-ready diseases; and</li> <li>Ensure that interventions using novel approaches are available, promoted and accessible for tool-deficient diseases.</li> <li>cysticercosis, dracunculiasis (guinea-worm disease), echinococcosis, foodborne trematode infections, lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis (river blindness) schistosomiasis (bilharziasis) and soil transmitted helminthiasis.</li> </ul>	Buruli Ulcer Chagas disease (American trypanosomiasis) Dengue/dengue haemorrhagic fever Dracunculiasis (guinea-worm disease) Fascioliasis Human African trypanosomiasis Leishmaniasis Leprosy Lymphatic filariasis Onchocerciasis Rabies Schistosomiasis Soil transmitted helminthiasis (ascariasis, hookworm, and trichuriasis) Snakebite Trachoma Yaws
Global Network for Neglected Tropical Diseases <sup>104</sup>	Raise the awareness, political will, and funding necessary to control and eliminate the seven most common NTDs	Lymphatic filariasis Onchocerciasis Schistosomiasis Soil-transmitted helminths Trachoma
The U.S. Neglected Tropical Disease Program <sup>105</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Supply 1 billion NTD treatments;</li> <li>Halve the prevalence of seven NTDs in 30 countries by 2013 that account for 70% of the global disease burden of the targeted diseases;</li> <li>Eliminate onchocerciasis in Latin America by 2016;</li> <li>Eliminate leprosy in all endemic countries by 2016; and</li> <li>Eliminate lymphatic filariasis globally by 2020.</li> </ul>	Lymphatic filariasis Onchocerciasis Schistosomiasis Soil-transmitted helminths Trachoma
Single-Disease Initiative		
Initiatives for the Seven Most Common NTDs	Goal	Partners

<sup>103</sup> WHO webpage on NTDs, at [http://www.who.int/neglected\\_diseases/diseases/en/http://www.who.int/neglected\\_diseases/faq/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/diseases/en/http://www.who.int/neglected_diseases/faq/en/index.html).

<sup>104</sup> Webpage on the Global Network for Neglected Tropical Diseases at <http://globalnetwork.org/>.

<sup>105</sup> Webpage on the NTD Program at <http://www.neglecteddiseases.gov/about/index.html>.



Global Alliance to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis <sup>106</sup>	Eliminate globally as a public health problem by 1997	More than forty partners from the public and private sectors, academia, international development organizations and NGOs
Onchocerciasis Control Program (OCP), African Program for Onchocerciasis Control (APOC), and Onchocerciasis Elimination Program for the Americas (OEPA) <sup>107</sup>	Eliminate regionally by 2008 (APOC): 1995-2015, 65% coverage with MDA	Fifteen partners including NGOs, the World Bank, and pharmaceutical companies
Schistosomiasis Control Initiative <sup>108</sup>	Reduce disease through periodic, targeted treatment with praziquantel	Sixteen partners including academia, international development organizations, NGOs and national governments
The Partnership for Parasite Control <sup>109</sup>	Regularly treat at least 75% of all school-aged children at risk of illness from schistosomiasis and soil transmitted helminths by 2010	PPC is composed of various UN agencies, research institutes, universities and a multitude of NGOs who work together to reduce illness and death caused by schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthes
Global Elimination of Trachoma by 2020 (GET 2020) <sup>110</sup> and International Trachoma Initiative <sup>111</sup>	Eliminate by 2020; eliminate “blinding trachoma” by 1998	A partnership between WHO, Member States, NGOs, foundations, and the private sector
<b>Other NTD Initiatives</b>	<b>Goal</b>	<b>Partners</b>
Elimination of Chagas <sup>112</sup>	Eliminate disease transmission by 1998; control and elimination by 2010	PAHO
Elimination of leprosy <sup>113</sup>	Eliminate as a global health problem, 1991; Eliminate as a public health problem, 1998. Ensure accessible, uninterrupted, sustainable MDA	National programs in those countries endemic with the disease; World Bank, UNICEF, UNHCR and UNDP
Elimination of visceral leishmaniasis <sup>114</sup>	Eliminate regionally by 2015	Ministers of Health of Bangladesh, India and Nepal, WHO/PAHO

<sup>106</sup> See the Global Alliance’s webpage at <http://www.filariasis.org/>.

<sup>107</sup> The three onchocerciasis programs are eliminated in different regions, but all target blindness caused by onchocerciasis; see [http://www.who.int/blindness/partnerships/onchocerciasis\\_home/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/blindness/partnerships/onchocerciasis_home/en/index.html).

<sup>108</sup> For more information on the Schistosomiasis Control Initiative, see <http://www3.imperial.ac.uk/schisto>.

<sup>109</sup> For more information on the PPC, see [http://www.who.int/wormcontrol/about\\_us/en/](http://www.who.int/wormcontrol/about_us/en/).

<sup>110</sup> For more information on GET 2020, see <http://www.who.int/blindness/causes/trachoma/en/index.html>.

<sup>111</sup> For more information on the Trachoma Initiative, see <http://www.trachoma.org/core/>.

<sup>112</sup> WHO, *Chagas disease: control and elimination*, Report by the Secretariat, March 20, 2009, [http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf\\_files/A62/A62\\_17-en.pdf](http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/A62/A62_17-en.pdf).

<sup>113</sup> WHO, *The Final Push Strategy to Eliminate Leprosy as a Public Health Problem*, Questions and Answers, 2003, [http://www.who.int/lep/resources/Final\\_Push\\_%20QA.pdf](http://www.who.int/lep/resources/Final_Push_%20QA.pdf).

<sup>114</sup> Jai Narain et al., “Elimination of neglected tropical diseases in the South-East Asia Region of the World Health Organization,” *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 88, no. 3 (2010), pp. 206-210, <http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/88/3/09-072322/en/>.

Guinea Worm Eradication Program	Eradicate globally by 2004	CDC, The Carter Center, DFID, WHO, the United Nations Children's Fund and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
Program to Eliminate Sleeping Sickness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Strengthen and coordinate control measures</li><li>• Strengthen surveillance system</li><li>• Monitor treatment and drug resistance</li><li>• Develop information database and training activities</li></ul>	Aventis Pharma (now Sanofi-aventis), Bayer, other private partners, NGOs, and Belgian and French bilateral cooperation projects



## Appendix G. Other NTD Programs

Over the past two decades, several groups have sought to increase the availability and access to treatment for NTDs in high-burdened countries. These outfits are diverse in their mission; some were established to provide treatment for a specific NTD, such as onchocerciasis, while others are regional elimination efforts. A majority of these partnerships focus on the procurement and supply of drugs, while some incorporate advocacy functions and others focus on R&D for new drugs. Below are prominent examples of groups that offer NTD programs. This list is not exhaustive.

### **Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation<sup>115</sup>**

The Gates Foundation targets a specific set of NTDs, including cysticercosis, dengue, guinea-worm disease, soil-transmitted helminths, Human African trypanosomiasis, Human papillomavirus, Japanese encephalitis, lymphatic filariasis, onchocerciasis, schistosomiasis, trachoma, and leishmaniasis.<sup>116</sup> Between 1998 and 2009, the Gates Foundation provided more than \$717 million for 163 NTD projects and contributions to international organizations and public-private partnerships, including WHO, the Guinea Worm Eradication Program and the Global Network for Neglected Tropical Diseases.

### **Carter Center<sup>117</sup>**

The Carter Center the Center focuses on six diseases: dracunculiasis (guinea worm), onchocerciasis (river blindness), trachoma, schistosomiasis, lymphatic filariasis, and malaria. The Carter Center is possibly best known for its leading role in eliminating guinea worm. Efforts launched in 1986 by the Carter Center have contributed to a 99% reduction in global incidence of guinea worm. In 1997, the Carter Center expanded its focus on diseases to include health system strengthening.

### **Children Without Worms<sup>118</sup>**

Children Without Worms is a partnership between Johnson & Johnson and The Task Force for Global Health (formerly the Task Force for Child Survival and Development) working to reduce the burden of soil-transmitted helminth infections in children from eight countries in Latin America, Africa, and Asia. Children Without Worms uses annual donations of mebendazole from Johnson & Johnson and targets its distribution based on the size and need of local populations.

### **Drugs for Neglected Diseases Initiative (DNDi)<sup>119</sup>**

In 2003, seven organizations launched DNDi to work in partnership with the pharmaceutical industry, academia and non-governmental organizations to develop new treatments for NTDs and strengthen research capacity in African and Asian countries. DNDi focuses on the development of

---

<sup>115</sup> See the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation webpage on NTDs at <http://www.gatesfoundation.org/topics/Pages/neglected-diseases.aspx>.

<sup>116</sup> Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, *Neglected and Other Infectious Diseases, Strategy Overview*, November 2009, <http://www.gatesfoundation.org/global-health/Documents/noids-strategy.pdf>.

<sup>117</sup> See the Carter Center webpage at <http://www.cartercenter.org/index.html>.

<sup>118</sup> <http://www.childrenwithoutworms.org/>.

<sup>119</sup> <http://www.dndi.org/>.

new drugs for the most neglected diseases, such as Human African trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness), visceral leishmaniasis, and Chagas disease. The primary objective of DNDi is the delivery of six to eight new treatments for leishmaniasis, Human African trypanosomiasis, Chagas disease, and malaria by 2014.

## **Global Network for Neglected Tropical Diseases<sup>120</sup>**

The Global Network for Neglected Tropical Disease is a partnership launched in September 2006 to advocate for controlling and reducing the burden of NTDs. This partnership seeks to raise the profile of NTDs as a global health issue through advocacy, resource mobilization and global coordination efforts. Communicating success stories is an important component of the Network's work, through sharing personal stories, highlighting disease-specific achievements, and communicating progress in the field.

## **International Vaccine Institute<sup>121</sup>**

The International Vaccine Initiative (IVI) is an international center of research, training, and technical assistance that aims to develop vaccines against diseases that plague developing countries. As of October 2010, 33 countries became signatories and an additional 16 countries were party to the initiative. The United States is not a signatory or party to the South Korean-based initiative, though WRAIR and CDC partners with IVI in various capacities (e.g., researching a vaccine against dengue) and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation serves as a key donor. IVI scientists have developed a vaccine against cholera and an IVI-developed vaccine against typhoid fever was 80% effective during a phase 4 trial.<sup>122</sup>

## **Infectious Diseases Research Institute<sup>123</sup>**

The Infectious Diseases Research Institute (IDRI) is a Seattle-based non-profit research center aimed at applying innovative science to the research and development of products to prevent, detect and treat infectious diseases of poverty. IDRI is currently researching treatments against leishmaniasis, TB, malaria, leprosy, and Chagas.

## **Institute for One World Health<sup>124</sup>**

The Institute for One World Health is a non-profit organization aimed at developing safe, effective, and affordable new medicines to treat infectious diseases that are commonly found in poorer countries. The institute is currently focusing on developing new treatments against leishmaniasis, diarrheal diseases, malaria, Chagas, and soil-transmitted diseases.

---

<sup>120</sup> See the Global Network webpage at <http://www.globalnetwork.org/>.

<sup>121</sup> See the International Vaccine Institute webpage at <http://www.ivi.int/>.

<sup>122</sup> See R. Dipika Sur et al., "A Cluster-Randomized Effectiveness Trial of Vi Typhoid Vaccine in India," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 361, no. 4 (July 23, 2009), pp. 335-344, <http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMoa0807521>.

<sup>123</sup> See the webpage of IDRI at <http://www.idri.org/>.

<sup>124</sup> See the Institute for One World Health webpage at [http://www.oneworldhealth.org/about\\_us](http://www.oneworldhealth.org/about_us).

## Pharmaceutical Industry

Primarily through large donations of NTD treatments for little or no cost, the pharmaceutical industry plays an important role in global NTD initiatives. Key pharmaceutical companies include Bayer HealthCare, GlaxoSmithKline, Johnson & Johnson, MedPharm, Merck Inc., Merck KGaA, Novartis, Pfizer, and Sanofi-aventis. One example of the pharmaceutical industry's central role to the global response to NTDs is Merck's Mectizan Donation Program. Launched in 1987, the effort stands as the longest-running disease-specific drug donation program. Merck initiated the initiative with large quantities of free Mectizan to treat onchocerciasis and expanded the program in 1998 to target lymphatic filariasis. In a 2008 report, Merck indicated that it had donated more than 2.5 billion tablets of Mectizan that were used to treat 80 million people in Latin America, Africa, and the Middle East.<sup>125</sup>

## Sabin Vaccine Institute<sup>126</sup>

The Sabine Vaccine Institute is a non-profit organization that advocates for and engages in R&D of innovative vaccines to prevent the spread of infectious and neglected tropical diseases. The institute is currently focusing on developing vaccines against hookworm, schistosomiasis, and malaria. The Sabin Institute also supports technology transfer to less developed countries, such as efforts with Brazil.

## Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases<sup>127</sup>

The Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR) was established in 1975 to support the development of new tools to fight tropical diseases and strengthen the research capacity of affected developing countries. The program is executed by WHO and co-sponsored by UNDP, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), and the World Bank. TDR anticipates facilitating the elimination of four NTDS globally: Chagas disease, leprosy, lymphatic filariasis, and onchocerciasis. It also aims to eliminate visceral leishmaniasis in Latin America. According to the TDR Business Plan for 2008-2013, none of the targeted diseases can be eradicated with current tools and investments, though regional elimination can be achieved.<sup>128</sup> Donor contributions to TDR have increased over the past decade from \$57 million in the 2000-2001 budget period to \$77.5 million between 2008 and 2009. The United States is a key donor to TDR, though U.S. contributions have declined over the past decade. During 2000-2001, the U.S. contributed \$9.2 million, or 16% of total contributions.<sup>129</sup> Between 2008 and 2009 U.S. contributions totaled \$4.8 million, amounting to roughly 6% of all contributions.<sup>130</sup>

---

<sup>125</sup> Merck & Co., Inc., Corporate Social Responsibility 2008 Report, p. 19, <http://www.merck.com/responsibility/MRK2008CRRReport.pdf>.

<sup>126</sup> See the Sabin Institute webpage at <http://www.sabin.org/>.

<sup>127</sup> See the TDR webpage at <http://apps.who.int/tdr/>.

<sup>128</sup> TDR, *TDR Business Plan 2008-2013*, June 2007, p. 5, <http://apps.who.int/tdr/documents/TDR-business-plan-2008.pdf>. An *eliminated* disease no longer causes illness among a population, though the germ that causes the illness remains. In contrast, *eradication* ensures the causative agent is destroyed and the disease no longer causes illness.

<sup>129</sup> Ibid., *TDR Summary Report 2004: Covering years 2003-2004*, p. 9, <http://apps.who.int/tdr/publications/about-tdr/summary-reports/summary-report-04/pdf/summary-report-04.pdf>.

<sup>130</sup> Ibid., "TDR financial contributions 2008-2009," [http://apps.who.int/tdr/about/pdf/contributions\\_table\\_2008\\_2009.pdf](http://apps.who.int/tdr/about/pdf/contributions_table_2008_2009.pdf).

## **Author Information**

Tiaji Salaam-Blyther  
Specialist in Global Health

## **Acknowledgments**

Craig Moschetti, former CRS analyst in Global Health, contributed to this report.

---

## **Disclaimer**

This document was prepared by the Congressional Research Service (CRS). CRS serves as nonpartisan shared staff to congressional committees and Members of Congress. It operates solely at the behest of and under the direction of Congress. Information in a CRS Report should not be relied upon for purposes other than public understanding of information that has been provided by CRS to Members of Congress in connection with CRS's institutional role. CRS Reports, as a work of the United States Government, are not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Any CRS Report may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without permission from CRS. However, as a CRS Report may include copyrighted images or material from a third party, you may need to obtain the permission of the copyright holder if you wish to copy or otherwise use copyrighted material.